030046.7

CERTIFIED COPY (Rev. 6/85)

United States District Court

Northern District of Illinois

Eastern Division

I. H. Stuart Cunningham, Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, do hereby attest and certify that the annexed document is a full, true, and correct copy of the original(s) on file in my office and in my legal custody.

PERT OF TERM STATE TO THE CORDER

of the afgresaid Court at Chicago, Illinois

on 12-0-93 DEPT-01 RECURDING

Table 6375 12/08/93 14:12:00

20584 6 2004-03-004-637

H. STUART CUNNINGHAM

CLERK

Deputy Clerk

17750/2

Minute Order Form (rev. 12/90)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

	2 - 2 - 60 2							
Name of Assigned Judge John A. or Magistrate Judge		John A. No	rdberg	Sitting Judge I Than Assigned	1	ed i		
Case Number 92 C 241		92 C 2413	$\langle \hat{p}_{ij}, \hat{p}_{ij} \rangle$	Date		Nove	mber 29,	1993
Case M. C. Van Title		M. C. Van	Kampen, Inc. v. Reliable Truck Parts, Inc.					
MOTION:		ollowing box (a) indic state briefly the natur			intilf, defend	Jant, 3rd-pa	rty plaintill,	
of a R	ule 5/ Defen	Motion for S (b) Final Judant's Relia	idgment.	Defendant's	Motio	n for Stand	Leave to as His	
DOCKET EN	THY;				505	IT have a	Mercelini	
("		n of fuse hating in "MG part of motion due	OTION box above			_	1442	្ត រប្
(2)		Carlon Sec.)5	Don't to	enswer brief	 Mari	,	\$
(3)	Answer brie	f to motion due		nuply (0	वाक्षिया प्राप्ति	400	· ·	
(4)	Heal	ing		set for			st	
(3)	Status heari		continued to		e-set for		at	
(0)	Pretrial conf	المساري المراج	continued to	TAGA T	e-sat for		st	
(7) Triel Set for re-set lot								
(8) Bench Trial Jury Trial Hearing held and continued to								
(9) This case is dismissed without with prejudice and without coate by agreement pursuant to								
[FRCP 40) (failure to serve)	General Rule	21 (want of prosecu	tion)	FRCP 41(n)(1)	FRCF 41(a)(2)
(10) (Other docket antry) Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment is								
GRANTED. Plaintiff's Motion for Entry of a Rule 54(b) Final Judgment is GRANTED. Defendant's Motion for Leave								
is GRANTED. Judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiff and against								
Leroy Kaplan, David Kaplan and Peliable Truck Parts, jointly and severally in the amount of \$299.853.54. This is a final judgment and the Court								
		ere is no ju		· ·				
(11) X	(For further	detail see	arder on the rev	else of O	rder allache	d to the o	original minute	order form.]
		advised in open court.	a e 341	Programme Section	H		number of	
No notices required. Notices mailed by judge's stall.				. '	DEC 7	- 1993	date	Document #
Notified counsel by telephone.			FIL	ED-ED4			docketed	
Docketing to mail notices.			93 NOV :	30 PM 4: 29	to		dockating dpty, initials	mil
Mail AO 450 form. Copy to judge/magistrate Judge.			laterohn li		DEC 7-	1993	date mailed	104
20	courtre	mac	U.Sandillano	RECT COURT	7		mailing	<u> </u>
1 10	Initials		cantral C	erk's Office	Y		dpty, initials	

Before the Court are Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment as to Damages, Plaintiff's Motion for Entry of Final Judgment, and Defendant's Motion for Leave to Permit a prior Response to Stand. Each of the Motions is GRANTED.

By Memorandum Opinions and Orders dated June 4, 1993 and October 27, 1993, the Court has resolved the issues of Defendant Leroy Kaplan's, Reliable Truck Parts, Inc.'s ("Reliable"), and David Kaplan's liability and the issues of the amount of damages as to L. Kaplan and Reliable. With respect to those Defendants, all that remains is the calculation of damages attributable to David Kaplan. Plaintiff seeks \$299,853.54, representing \$49,975.59 in actual damages, trebled under RICO, and doubled under Michigan State Law, M.C.L. 600.2919(a). The sum requested is the same damage award previously approved by the Court, on October 27, 1993.

In response to Plaintiff's request, Defendant David Kaplan requests the Court's leave to allow him to use Defendant Reliable's response to Plaintiff's Previous Motion for Summary Judgment as to pamages (the "Prior Response") as his current Response. The Motion is GRANTED. The Prior Response makes no legal argument and fails to put forth any evidence contesting the Plaintiff's requested damages.

Accordingly, now with respect to David Kaplan, as before with respect to Defendants Leroy Kaplan and Reliable, the Court approves the requested damage award. Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED, the issue of attorneys' fees and costs to be reserved.

Before moving to Plaintifi's Motion for Entry of Final Judgment, the Court wishes to crarify a point asserted in Plaintiff's Reply. In paragraph 9 of its Reply, Plaintiff states:

It has been clearly established that <u>leliable</u> bought and sold stolen truck parts. It has been clearly established that <u>D. Kaplan</u> owns and operates Reliable.

This statement incorrectly implies that David Kaplan was found liable due to his ownership of Reliable. Not true. Such would require the piercing of Reliable's corporate weil which has not been attempted in this lawsuit. David Kaplan was found liable for his actual violations of the RICO laws. See Memorandum Opinion & Order of October 27, 1993.

Plaintiff moves for the entry of a Rule 54(b) Final Judgment. The Motion is GRANTED. The Court hereby enters judgment against Leroy Kaplan, David Kaplan, and Reliable, jointly and severally, in the amount of \$299,853.54. The issues relating to Attorneys' fees and costs will be addressed at the end of this case. This is a final judgment, and the Court finds that there is no just cause for delay.

Sent for Minediuming

DEC 7 1990

AO 450 (Rev. 5/85) Judgment in a Civil Case @

THURSE!

United States District Court

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

M.C. Van Kampen, Inc.

Eastern Division

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

٧.

Reliable Truck Parts, Inc., David
Kaplan, Leroy Kapien, Maupin CASE NUMBER: 92 C 2413
Truck Parts, Inc., Cacil Maupin, Jr.
Arthur Veal and Dwight Hallock

☐ Jury Verdict. This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.

Decision by Court. This action came to trisout hearing before the Court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Plaintiff's motion for the entry of a Rule 54(b) Final Judgment is granted. Judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiff, M.C. Van Kampen, Inc. and against Leroy Kaplan, David Kaplan, and Reliable, jointly and severally, in the amount of \$299,853.54. The issues relating to Attorneys' fees and costs will be addressed at the end of this case. This is a final judgment, and the Court finds that there is no just cause for delay.



SACHNOFF & WEAVER, LTD.

Thomas J. BAMONTE

30 S. WACKER

Suite 2900

Chgo, Fl. 60606

November 30, 1993

H. STUART CUNNINGHAM

Clerk

(By) Deputy Clerk

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1985-4GB-002

Cate

377

05004637

Aropeny of Coot County Clert's Office