UNOFFICIAL COPY



Doc#: 0421131110 Eugene "Gene" Moore Fee: \$40.50 Cook County Recorder of Deeds Date: 07/29/2004 03:10 PM Pg: 1 of 9

RECORDING REQUESTED) AND WHEN RECORDED) MAIL COPY TO SENDER)

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE ONLY

Mail to:

Khari Bowden

c/o: 10626 South Emerald

Chicago, Illinois

Affidavit

In the County Court of Records int o.

0421131110 Page: 2 of 9

Affidavit of Notice of Default of Jurisdiction and Judgment AGAINST THE CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT and IT'S OFFICERS AND AGENTS

State of Illinois)
County of Cook)

From: Khari Bowden c/o: 10626 South Emerald Chicago, Illinois [60628]

Date: July 23, 2004

To: CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

1718 S. State St. Chicago, Illir its 60602 Superintendent Philip J. Cline

Now Comes Khari Powden, and hereby enters this NOTICE OF DEFAULT of Jurisdiction and JUDGMENT against THE CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT and It's Officers and Agents, for the records to show that all points in the Certified Demand for Proof of Jurisdiction served exclusive of July 14, 2004, to THE CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT, it's officers and agents, which has not been answered or rebutted, are true and correct as a matter of law. (See Copy of Certified Demand for Proof of Jurisdiction Attached).

In a case tried by the US District Court, US v. Praciden, 424 F 2d 1921 (1970), the Court held that, "Silence can only be equated with Fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to respond or where an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading."

It is a Maxim of law that (1) "In commerce truth is sovereign"; (2) "Truth is expressed by means of an affidavit"; (3) "An unrebutted affidavit stands as the truth in commerce"; (4) "An unrebutted affidavit becomes the judgment in commerce, wherein the points remaining unrebutted in the end stand as the truth and the matters to which the judgment of the law is applied."

Because THE CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT's officers and agents, have already been given an opportunity, time and place in which to prove jurisdiction, over the subject matter and Affiant, and it Failed to do so, it has proven that it does not have jurisdiction, over subject matter, Affiant or Affiant's private property, Affiant's points and authorities and challenges stand as Truth and has now become the judgment in law.

In summary, THE CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT it's officers and agents, does not have any jurisdiction whatsoever over Khari Bowden or the subject matter of, to issue anything, nor to act any in any way.

"An order that exceeds the jurisdiction of the court is void, and can be attacked in any proceeding in any court where the validity of the judgment comes into issue." Rose v. Himely (1808) 4 Cranch 241, 2 L ed

THE CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT, and any agent thereof, by law is unable to order anything, or enforce void orders without being held personally and individually liable in an action under 42 U.S.C. 1983, 42 U.S.C. 1985, 42 U.S.C. 1986 and other appropriate actions.

The presumption of the corporation THE CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT, it's officer and agents, or defective statutes having jurisdiction over me, is no longer valid. I ask THE CHICAGO POLICE

DEPARTMENT officers and agents in accordance with 42 USC 1986 to correct the wrongs that have taken place in this matter and to order all those acting in authority of your Office or in your name to be given the information that I am an Indigenous individual, a member of a national, ethnical, racial and religious group, and not a commercial entity subject to commercial dictates, and that there is no lawful jurisdiction that those acting under color of law and exercising powers have, that can usurp my rights or their obligations to the law of nations or the compact of the Constitution for the United States of America.

Please inform any officers and agents, agencies or divisions operating under color of law and receiving information from you, of all of the above facts, acknowledging the U.C.C. as a regulatory authority over all commercial entities and officers. The U.C.C. states that the code [U.C.C.] at Section 1-103.6, must be in harmony with the Common Law and the Bill of Rights.

Therefore in conclusion, the above noted THE CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT, and any agent thereof, lacks subject matter and person am jurisdiction, over Khari Bowden, and shall cease to attempt to exercise jurisdiction over Affiant and Affiant's private affairs. There shall be no cause for warrant held as lawful or valid, nor any further notices or action of any kind.

Finally.

See the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, General Assembly resolution 217 A (III) of 10 December Article 9

No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest, detention or exile.

Article 12.

No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to attacks upon his honour and reputation. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such

Cross Reference the above Universal Declaration with the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, General Assembly Resolution 2200 A (XXI) of 16 December 1966, implemented by Executive Article 1

All peoples have the right of self-determination. By virtue of that right they freely determine their political status and freely pursue their economic, social and cultural development.

Article 17

No one shall be subjected to arbitrary or unlawful interference with his privacy, family, home correspondence, nor to unlawful attacks on his honour and reputation.

Article 50

The provisions of the present Covenant shall extend to all parts of federal States without any limitation

Certification

The undersigned certifies that the statements set forth in this document are true and correct. I, Khari Bowden, am competent to certify that the statements herein are grounded in fact and warranted by

Subscribed and sworn to before me

Enc: Copy of Certified Demand for Proof Jurisdiction already served

0421131110 Page: 4 of 9

UNOFFICIAL COPY

Proof of Service

I do hereby certify that I have served THE CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT with a true copy of the within document, Affidavit of Notice of Default of Jurisdiction and Judgment Against the CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT and It's Officers and Agents, and a copy of Demand for Proof Jurisdiction which has been previously served, mailing first class mail, postage pre-paid from a, 2004 addressed and directed to CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT 1718 S. State St. Chicago, Turois 60602
State St. Chicago, Timois 60602
Signed Date 7/29/04 Date 7/29/04
Co
Othory Clark's Office
C/t/S

0421131110 Page: 5 of 9

Affidavit of Notice of Default of Jurisdiction and Judgment AGAINST THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY and IT'S OFFICERS AND AGENTS

State of Illinois) County of Cook)

From: Khari Bowden c/o: 10626 South Emerald Chicago, Illinois [60628]

Date: July 23, 2004

To: CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

50 W. Washington Chicago, Illinas 60602 Room CL02

Now Comes Khari Powden, and hereby enters this NOTICE OF DEFAULT of Jurisdiction and JUDGMENT against THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY and It's Officers and Agents, for the records to show that all points in the Certified Demand for Proof of Jurisdiction served exclusive of July 14, 2004, to THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, it's officers and agents, which has not been answered or rebutted, are true and correct as a matter of law. (See Copy of Certified Demand for Proof of Jurisdiction Attached).

In a case tried by the US District Court, US v. Practen, 424 F 2d 1921 (1970), the Court held that, "Silence can only be equated with Fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to respond or where an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading"

It is a Maxim of law that (1)"In commerce truth is sovereign'; (2) "Truth is expressed by means of an affidavit"; (3) "An unrebutted affidavit stands as the truth in commerce"; (4) "An unrebutted affidavit becomes the judgment in commerce, wherein the points remaining unrebutted in the end stand as the truth and the matters to which the judgment of the law is applied."

Because THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY's officers and agents, have already been given an opportunity, time and place in which to prove jurisdiction, over the subject matter and Affiant, and it Failed to do so, it has proven that it does not have jurisdiction, over subject matter, Affiant or Affiant's private property, Affiant's points and authorities and challenges stand as Truth and has now become the judgment in law.

In summary, THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY it's officers and agents, does not have any jurisdiction whatsoever over Khari Bowden or the subject matter of, to issue anything, nor to act any in any way.

"An order that exceeds the jurisdiction of the court is void, and can be attacked in any proceeding in any court where the validity of the judgment comes into issue." <u>Rose v. Himely (1808) 4 Cranch 241, 2 L ed</u>

THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, and any agent thereof, by law is unable to order anything, or enforce void orders without being held personally and individually liable in an action under 42 U.S.C. 1983, 42 U.S.C. 1985, 42 U.S.C. 1986 and other appropriate actions.

The presumption of the corporation THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, it's officer and agents, or defective statutes having jurisdiction over me, is no longer valid. I ask THE CIRCUIT COURT

s and agents in accordance with 42 USC 1986 to correct the wrongs that have taken place in this matter and to order all those acting in authority of your Office or in your name to be given the information that I am an Indigenous individual, a member of a national, ethnical, racial and religious group, and not a commercial entity subject to commercial dictates, and that there is no lawful jurisdiction that those acting under color of law and exercising powers have, that can usurp my rights or their obligations to the law of nations or the compact of the Constitution for the United States of America.

Please inform any officers and agents, agencies or divisions operating under color of law and receiving information from you, of all of the above facts, acknowledging the U.C.C. as a regulatory authority over all commercial entities and officers. The U.C.C. states that the code [U.C.C.] at Section 1-103.6, must be in harmony with the Common Law and the Bill of Rights.

Therefore in conclusion, the above noted THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, and any agent thereof, lacks subject matter and person am jurisdiction, over Khari Bowden, and shall cease to attempt to exercise jurisdiction over Affiant and Affiant's private affairs. There shall be no cause for warrant held as lawful or valid, nor any further notices or action of any kind.

Finally,

See the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, General Assembly resolution 217 A (III) of 10 December

Article 9.

No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest, detention or exile.

Article 12.

No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to attacks upon his honour and reputation. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such

Cross Reference the above Universal Declaration with the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, General Assembly Resolution 2200 A (XXI) of 16 December 1966, implemented by Executive Article 1

All peoples have the right of self-determination. By virtue of that right they freely determine their political status and freely pursue their economic, social and cultural development

Article 17

No one shall be subjected to arbitrary or unlawful interference with his privacy, family home or correspondence, nor to unlawful attacks on his honour and reputation.

Article 50

The provisions of the present Covenant shall extend to all parts of federal States without any limitate

Certification

The undersigned certifies that the statements set forth in this document are true and correct. I Khari Bowden, am competent to certify that the statements herein are grounded in fact and warranted by

Subscribed and sworn to before me

Enc: Copy of Certified Demand for Proof Jurisdiction already served Chicago, County of

▲ **U L**0421131110 Page: 7 of 9

DOROTHY BROWN CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

Traffic Bureau Richard J. Daley Center Room LL-20 Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 603-2927 FAX (312) 603-2928 www.cookcountyclerkofcourt.org

OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF CUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

for frau

Khari Bowden 10626 S. Emerald Chicego, IL 60628

Refused

RE: TD-905-705 to 708

Dear Mr. Bowden:

for fraud

I am in receipt of your letter dated July 5, 2004, requesting certified demand for proof of

There is a form available www.cookcountyclerkofcourt.org to: you to file a petition for Identity Theft.

If you would like to appe How is called filing a "motion". You may file a motion, any da the morning hours, between 8:00 to 10:00 a.m. You may come to Room LL01, Special Services Department. Our

Richard J. Daley Center Room LL Chicago, IL 60602

The filing fee is \$45 to be dge (which would be on the same day you file your met is not present in the raction room, you can speak directly to the judge.

Meanwhile, we will atta h to the above referenced traffic citations since your case went to Ix var ti**c**n on July 15, 2004.

Please feel free to contact Beena Patel, my administrative assistant at 312-603-2924 if you have any further questions. Traud

Chief Deputy Clerk

Traffic Division

0421131110 Page: 8 of 9

(A) Passport - PAS	SPORTNOF	FICIA	_ COPYuly	22, 2004, 13:45:51
TVCI CC00LL09	CLERK OF THE	efis.	COOK COUNTY	07/22/2004 13:45 PATELB2
DIST 1 AGENCY 600 TICKET # T D905709 S/L L CHARGE 90802 COURT DIV N MUST A MAJR CASE N WARRAN	O 1 OF 4-FYF 2C1 DISREC LE Appr y davabl	DR STOP A E N REPORTBI	NSHP OFFICE AMENDED N ACCIDENT T SWORN REPO	R KEY/STAR A/09676 ATTY SPEED ZONE
BOWDEN 10626 S EMERALD CHICAGO POSTED: ORIG IS CDL N DL # CMV N HAZMT N VEH ORIG BOND # I 5557	TYP ALL ME WE	etus 000 RECEIVD I EFF 2	12/11/1972 SEX 6/25/2004 CRT D 06/29/2004 CONT/ 06/29/2004 FTP EX	M HT 5'07" WT 140 IV RESP
TCKT DISP DATE 07/ INITIAL CRT DT 07/: NXT COURT DATE RETURN DATE FIRST TICKET IN CAS PF: 1-HELP 2-MENU 3 9-NAME SRCH 10	15/2004	Estimate Sex Constitution of the constitution	PARTE/JUDGMNT OF OTHER BALAN OTHER BALAN	CASE TOTALS **** ALD 0.00 CE DUE 740.00 NEXT SCREEN: MANAGEMENT VIEW

Refused for fraud Refused for fraud Refused for fraud

0421131110 Page: 9 of 9

UNOFFICIAL COPY

Proof of Service

I do hereby certify that I have served THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY with a true copy of the within document, Affidavit of Notice of Default of Jurisdiction and Judgment Against the Circuit Court of Cook County and It's Officers and Agents, and a copy of Demand for Proof Jurisdiction which has been previously served mailing first class mail, postage pre-paid from a post office on 2004 addressed and directed to Circuit Court of Cook County 50 W. Washington, Room CL02, Chicago, Winois 60602
Date: 7/79/0/1
Date: 7/29/04 by how Signature Signature
4
45.
O_{Sc}
of County Clark's Office