UNOFFICIAL COPY

Box space reserved for Recorder's Office only

Doc#: 0527241010 Fee: \$28.00 Eugene "Gene" Moore

Cook County Recorder of Deeds Date: 09/29/2005 09:25 AM Pg: 1 of 3

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT-FIRST DISTRICT		
MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT-FIRST DISTRICT		
THE CITY OF CHICAGO, a Municipal Corporation, Case No: OS MI 400491		
Plaintiff, Address: 8127 - 29 5 Ingle Side Ace		
Michael Muls, etal, Defendant(s). Courtroom 1105, Daley Center		
AGREED ORDER OF INJUNCTION AND JUDGMENT		
THIS CAUSE COMING to be heard on the set call, the Court being maly advised in the premises:		
Defendant(s) Stephen Hourdy		
and the City of Chicago have reached agreement as to the resolution of this case, simulate to the following facts and agree to entry of the following order(s): Stipulations		
1. The premises contains, and at all times relevant to this case contained, the violations of the Chicago Municipal Code set forth in Plaintiff's Complaint and notice of violations. Defendant has a right to contest these facts, but knowingly and voluntarily stipulates to said facts and waives the right to trial, including the right to a jury trial, if any, as to each, any and all of the stipulated facts.		
Orders O.S.		
2. [X] a) The judgment entered on the date of 120 in the amount of \$ 1,000.00 against Defendants shall stand as final judgment on Count I of right intiff's complaint. Leave to enforce said judgment is stayed until 1/1/05. Execution is to issue on the judgment thereafter. Count I is dismissed		
as to all other defendants. Plaintiff agrees to accept \$ 500.00 in full settlement of the judgment if payment is made to the City of Chicago by 11 14 05 . If payment is mailed it must be postmarked within the aforesaid time limit and mailed to the attention of Tina Zvanja at 30 N. LaSalle St., Room 700, Chicago, IL 60602.		
b) Defendant has paid a settlement of \$, instanter, as evidenced by receipt #		
3. Defendant		
4. Defendant shall schedule and permit interior inspections of the subject premises to verify compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. Defendant shall call Inspector at 746 to schedule an inspection.		
# Y(c)		

0527241010 Page: 2 of 3

The provisions of this agreement that be hidding on the parties, partiers and managing partiers, successors, heirs and assigns of the Defendant. If Defendant intends to selver other vise transfer ownership of the promises before the repairs required by this Agreed Order are completed and approved by the Department of Buildings, Defendant must notify the City and the Court of the change in ownership by way of motion duly filed with the Court with notice given to the City. DEFENDANT IS FULLY RESPONSIBLE FOR FULFILLING ALL REQUIREMENTS UNDER THIS AGREED ORDER, REGARDLESS OF OWNERSHIP OF THE PREMISES.

Penalties

5. Should Defendant fail to comply with any of the provisions of this Agreed Order, the City will petition the Court to enforce the Agreed Order. Defendant may be subject to any or all of the following penalties for failure to comply. This list is not exclusive, and the Court may order other appropriate action upon petition by the City, including the appointment of a receiver to make repairs and reinstatement of the case.

Default fines. (a)

- Defendant will comply with the compliance schedule set forth above, and will be subject to fines of \$500 per day for each violation of the Municipal Code that exists past the due date. The fines will be calculated from the first day Defendant visites the compliance schedule, and will continue to run until Defendant complies the violations.
- (ii) Defendant will pay a lump-sum default fine of \$______ if violations exist at the premises after the due date agreed to in ne compliance schedule.

(b) **Contempt of Court.**

- (i) Civil Contempt. If upon petition by the City, the Court finds that Defendant has failed to comply with the Agreed Order, Defendant shall be subject to times and/or incarceration for indirect civil contempt until Defendant purges the contempt by complying with the Agreed Order.
- (ii) Criminal Contempt. If upon petition by the City for indirect criminal contempt, Defendants is found beyond a reasonable doubt to have wilfully refused to comply with the Court's order, Defendant will be subject to a fine and/or incarceration, which fine or period of incarceration will not be affected by Defendant's subsequent compliance with the Agreed Order.

Proceedings on Request for Relief

- 6. If the City files a motion or petition pursuant to paragraph 5, Defendant waives the right to a vial or hearing as to all issues of law and fact, except whether or not Defendant has violated the provisions of this Agreed Order, whether (r not said violation(s) constitute civil or criminal contempt, and whether or not the requested relief is appropriate and/or feasible.
- 7. The court retains jurisdiction to adjudicate any enforcement action initiated pursuant to paragraph 5 or wis Agreed Order, and retains jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of modification, enforcement or termination of the orders stated in raragraphs 3 and 4 of this Agreed Order.
- 8. This matter is hereby dismissed by agreement of the parties, without prejudice, subject to the agreement detailed above. This order is final, appealable, and enforceable, the court finding no just cause or reason to delay its enforcement or appeal.

THE PARTIES HAVE READ AND HEREBY AGREE TO THE ABOVE TERMS AND CONDITIONS.

Mara S. Georges, Corporation Counsel #90909

Assistant Corporation Counsel 30 N. LaSalle, Room 700

Chicago, IL 60602 (312) 744-8791

HEARING DATE: 9

Circuit Court - 1879

SO ORDERED:

Judge

Courtroom 110₹

0527241010 Page: 3 of 3

MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT-FIRST DISTRICT

City of Chicago, a municipal corporation, Plaintiff	Case No. 1
v.) Amount Claimed per day \$9,000.00
MICHAEL MILLS)
DARYL HANDY)
LASALLE BANK, NA)
CITIBANK, FSB)
ROSEN 358 SACK REAL)
)
Unknown owners and non-record claimants Defendants)

COMPLAINT FOR EQUITABLE AND OTHER RELIEF

Plaintiff, City of Chicago, a municipal corporation, by Mara S. Georges, Corporation Counsel, by the undersigned Assistant(s) Corporation Counsel, complains of Defendant(s) as follows:

Count I

1. Within the corporate limits of said city there is a parcel of real estate legally described as follows:

20-35-117-002

LOTS 35 AND 36 IN BLOCK 131 IN CORNELL, A SUBDIVISION IN THE SOUTH 1/2 OF THE NORTHWEST 1/4 OF SECTION 35, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14, EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, IN COOK COUNTY ILLINOIS. In Clark's

Commonly known as 8127-29 S INGLESIDE AVE

and that located thereon is a

- 3 Story(s) Building
- 13 **Dwelling Units**
- 0 Non-Residential Units
- 2. That at all times pertinent thereto on information and belief the following named defendants owned, maintained, operated, collected rents for, or had an interest in the said property on the date(s) herein set forth.

MICHAEL MILLS TR #109939-05 1/22/90 DOC #900324000 DARYL HANDY TR #109939-05 1/22/90 DOC #90032400 LASALLE BANK NA TR # 109939-05 1/22/90 DOC #90032400 CITIBANK FSB 5/27/03 DOC 0314732069 **ROSEN 358 SACK REAL**

Unknown owners and non-record claimants

3. That on 01/31/2005 and on each succeeding day thereafter and on numerous other occasions, the defendant(s) failed to comply with the Municipal Code of City of Chicago as follows: