UNOFFICIAL COP

Return Document To: P.O. Box 95 RECORDER OF DEEDS

MARKOFF & KRASNY 29 N. Wacker Drive 5th Floor

Chicago IL 60606 312/698-7300 Doc#: 0911104071 Fee: \$42.00

Eugene "Gene" Moore

Cook County Recorder of Deeds Date: 04/21/2009 09:38 AM Pg: 1 of 4

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, FIRST DISTRICT

MEMORANDUM OF JUDGMENT

Judgment rendered ACAINST:

NAME OF PARTY: (DAVID MURRELL

3253 W 85TH ST STREET ADDRESS:

CHICAGO 11 60652 CITY and STATE:

PIN 20-16-104-014-0000 PLEASE RECORD LIEN ON PROPERTY.

LEGALLY DESCRIBED AS:

Lot 22 in Block 1 in Miller and Rigdon's Subdivision of Lot 29 (e cept the North 134 feet thereof) in School Trustee's Subdivision of Section 16, Township 38 North, Range 14, East of the Third Principal Meridian, ir Cook County, Illinois.

> Commonly known as: 507 W GARFIELD BLVD CHICAGO II 50646

Judgment Rendered: November 07, 2008 herein in the Amount of: \$4,340.00 plus costs

IN FAVOR OF:

NAME OF PARTY:

City of Chicago

Markoff & Krasny

29 N. Wacker Drive, 5th Floor

Chicago IL 60606

Court Case No.

09 M1 651701

DAH Docket No. 08CP044887

88-10069

UNOFFICIAL COPY

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, FIRST DISTRICT

CITY OF CHICAGO, a municipal corporation,

Plaintiff,

Defendant(s).

v.

DAVID MURRELL

Case No.

09 M1 651701

DAH Docket No. 08CP044887

Date of DAH Judgment: November 7, 2008

DAH Judgment Amount \$4,340.00

Violation Type: Police

CITY OF CHICAGO'S NOTICE OF REGISTRATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGMENT

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the judgment creditor, CITY OF CHICAGO, through its attorneys, MARKOFF & KRA3NI, has registered an administrative judgment with the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, as follows:

On November 7, 2008, an administrative money judgment was rendered in the City of Chicago, Department of Administrative Hearings ("DAH"), in favor of the plaintiff, CITY OF CHICAGO, and against the Defendant(s), DAVID MURRELL. Pursuant to Illinois law, 65 ILCS 5/1-2.1-7 and 5/1-2.1-8, the Defendant(s) either: (a) failed to seek administrative review within thirty-five (35) days of the administrative judgment; (b) sought administrative review and failed to overturn the administrative judgment; or (c) sought administrative review but failed to seek or obtain a stay of the administrative money judgment. Accordingly, pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/1-2.1-8(c), the DAH judgment may be enforced in the same manner as a money judgment entered by a court of competent jurisdiction. The DAH judgment against the Defendant(s), DAVID MURRELL, is in the amount of \$4,340.00 and Defendant has not paid all amounts due City of Chicago. Interest accrues on the administrative judgment at the rate of nine (9) percent per annum from December 12, 2008, the thirty-fifth (35th) day after the City of Chicago - Department of Administrative Hearings repleted its administrative judgment.

Under penalties as provided by law pursuant to Section 1-101 of the Illinois Code of Civil Procedure, the undersigned certifies that the statements set forth in this instrument are true and correct, except as to matters therein stated to be on information and belief and as to such matters, the undersigned certifies that he/she verily believes the same to be true.

Atty. No. 44957 MARKOFF & KRASNY Special Assistant Corporation Counsel 29 North Wacker Drive #500 Chicago, IL 60606 312/698-7300

| MARI | OFF | & K | RASN | Y | | | |
|------|------|-----|------|----|--------|-------|---------|
| Spec | cial | Ass | ista | nt | Corpor | ation | Counsel |
| | | | | | HICAGO | | |

. .

| By: | | | |
|-----|------|--|--|
| DV: | | | |
| | | | |

88-10069-0 CCJ/MZ

0911104071 Page: 3 of 4

DOAH - Order

(1/00)



88-10069

IN THE CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

| CITY OF CHICAGO, a Municipal Corporation, Pe | Address of Violation: stitioner,) 507 W Garfield |
|--|---|
| ν. |) |
| Murrell, David 3253 W 85TH ST ST |) Docket #: 08CP044887 |
| CHICAGO, II J0652 |) Issuing City spondent.) Department: Police |

FINDINGS, DECISIONS & ORDER

This matter coming for Hearing, notice given and the Administrative Body advised in the premises, having considered the motions, evidence and argument, presented, IT IS ORDERED: As to the count(s), this tribunal finds by a preponderance of the evidence and rules as follows:

| Finding | <u>NO '/#</u> | Count(s) | Municipal | Code Violated | <u>Penalties</u> |
|------------------------------|---------------|----------|-----------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| Default - Liable by prove-up | P001529214 | 1 | | Duty to secure and acant building. | \$1,000.00 |
| Default - Liable by prove-up | P001529215 | 2 | 13-12-140 | Watchman required | \$300.00 |
| Default - Liable by prove-up | P001529216 | 3 | | Duty to secure and acant building. | \$1,000.00 |
| Default - Liable by prove-up | P001529217 | ٥ | 13-12-140 | Watchman required | \$500.00 |
| Default - Liable by prove-up | P001529218 | 5 | | Duty to secure and acoust building. | \$1,000.00 |
| Default - Liable by prove-up | P001529219 | 6 | 13-12-1-0 | Welchman required | \$500.00 |
| Sanction(s): | | | | 750 | |
| Admin Costs: \$40.00 | | | | | C. |
| JUDGMENT TOTAL: \$4,340.00 | | | | | 6 |
| Balance Due: \$4,340.00 | | | | | 0 |
| | | | | | |

Respondent is ordered to come into immediate compliance with any/all outstanding Code violations.

Respondent being found liable by default has 21 days from the above stamped mailing date to file a petition to vacate (void) this default for good cause, with the Department of Administrative Hearings.

> I heroby certify the foregoing to be a true and correct copy of an Order entered by an Administrative Law Officer of Chicago Department Maministrative Hearings.

Above must bear an original signature to be accepted as a Certified Copy.

08CP044887 Page 1 of 2

Date Printed: Dec 29, 2008 11:23 am

0911104071 Page: 4 of 4

UNOFFICIAL COPY

DOAH - Order

(1/00)



IN THE CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

ENTERED: 26 Nov 7, 2008

Administrative Law Officer ALO# Date

You may appeat the properties State mandated filing fees.

You may appeat the properties State mandated filing fees.

Date Printed: Dec 29, 2008 11:23 am

08CP044887 Page 2 of 2