UNOFFICIAL COPY

Doc#: 1315641100 Fee: \$46.00

Affidavit Fee: Karen A. Yarbrough

Cook County Recorder of Deeds

Date: 06/05/2013 03:13 PM Pg: 1 of 5

Space reserved for the Recorder's Office

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT - FIRST DISTRICT

CITY OF CHICAGO, a I	municipal	0))		
•	Plaintiff,	4) N	lo.	12 M1 400128
v.		4) }	e:	1518 W. 71 st PL.
GLORISE CAIL., et al.) (,)	Dx.	,
Defendan		ts.)) C	Courtroom: 1111	

ORDER OF DEMOLITION

on the complaint of the Plaintiff, City This cause coming to be heard on_ of Chicago, a municipal corporation ("City"), by Stephen R. Patton, Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, against the following named Defendants:

GLORISE CAIL, **SHARON CAIL,** ARGENT MORTGAGE COMPANY, LLC, **UNKNOWN OWNERS, and NONRECORD CLAIMANTS,**

The Court being fully advised of the premises of this proceeding and having heard the testimony of the City's inspector, finds that:

_1315641100 Page: 2 of 5

UNOFFICIAL COPY

1. The Court has jurisdiction of the parties hereto and the subject matter, which is the premises located at the following address: 1518 W. 71st PL., Chicago, Illinois, and legally described as follows:

LOT 54 IN THE RESUBDIVISION OF LOTS 1, 2 AND 4 TO 30 INCLUSIVE IN BLOCK 1, LOTS 1 TO 30 INCLUSIVE IN BLOCK 2, LOTS 1 TO 30 INCLUSIVE IN BLOCK 3, LOTS 1 TO 8 AND 12 TO 29 INCLUSIVE IN BLOCK 4, LOTS 1 TO 5 AND 8 TO 29 INCLUSIVE IN BLOCK 5, LOTS 1 TO 30 INCLUSIVE IN BLOCK 6, LOTS 1 TO 30 IN BLOCK 7 AND LOTS 1, 2 AND 6 TO 30 INCLUSIVE IN BLOCK 8 ALL IN DEWEY & CASTETTER'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCKS 1, 2, 3 AND 4 IN THE SUBDIVISION OF FREDERICK M. JONES AND OTHERS IN THE WEST 1/2 OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 14, EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN IN COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

This parcel has a Permanent Index Number of 20-29-100-019.

- 2. Located on the subject property is a CARAGE AND TWO-STORY BRICK BUILDING.
- 3. The Court having heard testimony and evidence finds that the building located on the subject property is dangerous, hazardous, unsafe and beyond reasonable repair under the Unsafe Buildings Statute, 65 ILCS 5/11-31-1 (1996) in that:
 - a. The building is vacant and open.
 - b. The building's electrical system is stripped and inoperable, missing fixtures, and has exposed wiring.
 - c. The building's electrical system is smoke, fire or water damaged.
 - d. The building's flooring is smoke, fire or water damaged.
 - e. The building's glazing is broken or missing.
 - f. The building's heating system is stripped and inoperable, missing a furnace, and has indications of having been vandalized.

UNOFFICIAL COPY

h. The building's joists are smoke, fire or water damaged. i. The building's plaster is broken or missing, and is smoke, fire or water damaged. j. The building's plumbing system is stripped and inoperable, and missing fixtures. k. The building's rafters are fire damaged. l. The building's roof is missing shingles and is fire damaged. m. The building's sashes are broken, missing or inoperable; and smoke, fire or water damaged. n. The building's stair system has damaged handrails. o. The building's rear porch is deteriorated. p. The building's interior shows evidence of squatters. q. The garage is vacant and open. r. The garage is in a dilapidated state. 4. Demolition of the subject property, as a whole, is the least restrictive alternative available to effectively abate the conditions now existing there. A. The Court finds that the City has met its obligations under Section 21-410 of the Property Ta Code and that property tax certificate holders are subject, inter alia, to Sections 21-95, 21-100, 21-105, and 22-35 of the Property Tay Code. The property tax certificate holder is dismissed as party defendant.		g.	The building's heating system is smoke, fire or water damaged.
j. The building's plumbing system is stripped and inoperable, and missing fixtures. k. The building's rafters are fire damaged. l. The building's roof is missing shingles and is fire damaged. m. The building's sashes are broken, missing or inoperable; and smoke, fire or water damaged. n. The building's stair system has damaged handrails. o. The building's rear porch is determinated. p. The building's interior shows eviden e of squatters. q. The garage is vacant and open. r. The garage is in a dilapidated state. 4. Demolition of the subject property, as a whole, is the least restrictive alternative available to effectively abate the conditions now existing there. A. The Court finds that the City has met its obligations under Section 21-410 of the Property Tay Code and that property tax certificate holder's are subject, inter alia, to Sections 21-95, 21-100, 21-105, and 22-35 of the Property Tay Code. The property tax certificate holder's are subject, inter alia, to Sections 21-95, 21-100, 21-105, and 22-35 of the Property Tay Code. The property tax certificate holder's are subject, inter alia, to Sections 21-95, 21-100, 21-105, and 22-35 of the Property Tay Code. The property tax certificate holder		h.	The building's joists are smoke, fire or water damaged.
k. The building's rafters are fire damaged. I. The building's roof is missing shingles and is fire damaged. m. The building's sashes are broken, missing or inoperable; and smoke, fire or water damaged. n. The building's stair system has damaged handrails. o. The building's rear porch is deteriorated. p. The building's interior shows evidence of squatters. q. The garage is vacant and open. r. The garage is in a dilapidated state. 4. Demolition of the subject property, as a whole, is the least restrictive alternative available to effectively abate the conditions now existing there. A. The Court finds that the City has met its obligations under Section 21-410 of the Property Tay Code and that property tax certificate holders are subject, inter alia, to Sections 21-95, 21-100, 21-105, and 22-35 of the Property Tay Code. The property tax certificate holder		i.	The building's plaster is broken or missing, and is smoke, fire or water damaged.
I. The building's roof is missing shingles and is fire damaged. m. The building's sashes are broken, missing or inoperable; and smoke, fire or water damaged. n. The building's stair system has damaged handrails. o. The building's rear porch is deteriorated. p. The building's interior shows evidence of squatters. q. The garage is vacant and open. r. The garage is in a dilapidated state. 4. Demolition of the subject property, as a whole, is the least restrictive alternative available to effectively abate the conditions now existing there. A. The Court finds that the City has met its obligations under Section 21-410 of the Property Tax Code and that property tax certificate holders are subject, inter alia, to Sections 21-95, 21-100, 21-105, and 22-35 of the Property Tax Code. The property tax certificate holder		j.	The building's plumbing system is stripped and inoperable, and missing fixtures.
m. The building's sashes are broken, missing or inoperable; and smoke, fire or water damaged. n. The building's stair system has damaged handrails. o. The building's rear porch is deteriorated. p. The building's interior shows evidence of squatters. q. The garage is vacant and open. r. The garage is in a dilapidated state. 4. Demolition of the subject property, as a whole, is the least restrictive alternative available to effectively abate the conditions now existing there. A. The Court finds that the City has met its obligations under Section 21-410 of the Property Tay Code and that property tax certificate holders are subject, inter alia, to Sections 21-95, 21-100, 21-105, and 22-35 of the Property Tay Code. The property tax certificate holder		k.	The building's rafters are fire damaged.
n. The building's stair system has damaged handrails. o. The building's rear porch is deteriorated. p. The building's interior shows evidence of squatters. q. The garage is vacant and open. r. The garage is in a dilapidated state. 4. Demolition of the subject property, as a whole, is the least restrictive alternative available to effectively abate the conditions now existing there. A. The Court finds that the City has met its obligations under Section 21-410 of the Property Tacode and that property tax certificate holders are subject, inter alia, to Sections 21-95, 21-100, 21-105, and 22-35 of the Property Tax Code. The property tax certificate holder		l.	The building's roof is missing shingles and is fire damaged.
n. The building's stair system has damaged handrails. o. The building's rear porch is detraiorated. p. The building's interior shows evidence of squatters. q. The garage is vacant and open. r. The garage is in a dilapidated state. 4. Demolition of the subject property, as a whole, is the least restrictive alternative available to effectively abate the conditions now existing there. A. The Court finds that the City has met its obligations under Section 21-410 of the Property Tay Code and that property tax certificate holders are subject, inter alia, to Sections 21-95, 21-100, 21-105, and 22-35 of the Property Tay Code. The property tax certificate holders.		m.	The building's sashes are broken, missing or inoperable; and smoke, fire or water
 o. The building's rear porch is deteriorated. p. The building's interior shows evidence of squatters. q. The garage is vacant and open. r. The garage is in a dilapidated state. 4. Demolition of the subject property, as a whole, is the least restrictive alternative available to effectively abate the conditions now existing there. A. The Court finds that the City has met its obligations under Section 21-410 of the Property Tax Code and that property tax certificate holders are subject, inter alia, to Sections 21-95, 21-100, 21-105, and 22-35 of the Property Tax Code. The property tax certificate holder 			damaged.
 p. The building's interior shows evidence of squatters. q. The garage is vacant and open. r. The garage is in a dilapidated state. 4. Demolition of the subject property, as a whole, is the least restrictive after ative available to effectively abate the conditions now existing there. A. The Court finds that the City has met its obligations under Section 21-410 of the Property Tax Code and that property tax certificate holders are subject, inter alia, to Sections 21-95, 21-100, 21-105, and 22-35 of the Property Tax Code. The property tax certificate holder 		n.	The building's stair system has damaged handrails.
 q. The garage is vacant and open. r. The garage is in a dilapidated state. 4. Demolition of the subject property, as a whole, is the least restrictive alternative available to effectively abate the conditions now existing there. A. The Court finds that the City has met its obligations under Section 21-410 of the Property Tax Code and that property tax certificate holders are subject, inter alia, to Sections 21-95, 21-100, 21-105, and 22-35 of the Property Tax Code. The property tax certificate holder 		0.	The building's rear porch is detraiorated.
 The garage is in a dilapidated state. Demolition of the subject property, as a whole, is the least restrictive alternative available to effectively abate the conditions now existing there. The Court finds that the City has met its obligations under Section 21-410 of the Property Ta Code and that property tax certificate holders are subject, inter alia, to Sections 21-95, 21-100, 21-105, and 22-35 of the Property Tax Code. The property tax certificate holder 		p.	The building's interior shows evidence of squatters.
 Demolition of the subject property, as a whole, is the least restrictive alternative available to effectively abate the conditions now existing there. The Court finds that the City has met its obligations under Section 21-410 of the Property Ta Code and that property tax certificate holders are subject, inter alia, to Sections 21-95, 21-100, 21-105, and 22-35 of the Property Tax Code. The property tax certificate holder 		q.	The garage is vacant and open.
A. The Court finds that the City has met its obligations under Section 21-410 of the Property Ta Code and that property tax certificate holders are subject, inter alia, to Sections 21-95, 21-100, 21-105, and 22-35 of the Property Tax Code. The property tax certificate holder		r.	The garage is in a dilapidated state.
Code and that property tax certificate holders are subject, inter alia, to Sections 21-95, 21-		Dem ective	nolition of the subject property, as a whole, is the least restrictive alternative available ly abate the conditions now existing there.
	Α.	Cod	e and that property tax certificate holders are subject, inter alia, to Sections 21-95, 21- 21-105, and 22-35 of the Property Tax Code. The property tax certificate holder
ર			ર

UNOFFICIAL COPY

В.	Defendants UNKNOWN OWNERS and NONRECORD CLAIMANTS, having been notified by
	publication, and having failed to appear, answer, or otherwise plead as of the default dates
	of OCTOBER 18, 2012, are in default and all allegations in the complaint are deemed
	admitted against Defendants in default.

C.	An in rem judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiff City of Chicago and against	detendants or
	County I and IV of the City's complaint seeking demolition authority.	

D.	Pursuant to Count II of the City	∕s Complaint, D ∉ fendant	shall pay a
	fine of wi	th execution to issue.	
		/ `	

- E. Counts III, V, VI, vII, and VIII of the City's Complaint are voluntarily withdrawn.
- Pursuant to the judgment entered above, 65 ILCS 5/11-31-1, and the City's police powers under Article VII of the Illinois Constitution, the City is granted authorization to demolish the building on the subject property, and is entitled to a lien for the costs of demolition, court costs, and other costs enumerated by statute, and/or other statutory remedies.
- H. Defendant owners are ordered to keep the property secure until it is demolished.
- I. The City's performance under the Order will result in a statutory <u>in rem</u> lien that attaches only to the subject parcel of real estate. If the City seeks a personal judgment against any individual party to this action, it will proceed by separate motion directed to that party.
- J. Defendants with either possession or control of the subject property shall immediately remove any and all persons occupying the subject property and all personal property from said premises <u>instanter</u> so that said premises will be completely vacant and free of personal property before demolition is commenced. The City's Department of Human Services is authorized to assist in the relocation of any tenants.
- K. Pursuant to Illinois Supreme Court Rule 304(a), this is a final and appealable order and the Court finds that there is no just reason for delaying the enforcement or appeal of this order.

ENTERED

UNOFFICIAL COPY

L. The Court reserves jurisdiction of this cause to enforce the terms of this Order, for the purpose of ascertaining demolition costs for entry of money judgments against the defendant owners, and for the purpose of hearing foreclosure proceedings, as defined by the applicable statutes and ordinances.

WHEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

PLAINTIFF, CITY OF CHICAGO

Stephen R. Patton, Corporation Counsel

By:

MATTHEW E. SUHL

Assistant Corporation Counsel

Building and License Enforcement Division

30 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 700

Chicago, Illinois 60602

Phone: (312)744-3326

Facsimile: (312)744-1054

ATTY NO. 90909

Judge James M. McGing

Judge

JUN 03 2013

Circuit Court 1926