UNOFFICIAL COP



Doc#: 1522241049 Fee: \$40.00 Karen A. Yarbrough

Cook County Recorder of Deeds Date: 08/10/2015 11:47 AM Pg: 1 of 2

This space reserved for the Recorder of Deeds

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS

THE CITY OF CHICAGO, a municipal corporation,			5
THE	E CITY OF CHICAGO, a municipal corporation, Plaintiff,	No: 3 MI 00 / 12) [
v	YSE FLOREDA	Re: 3014-21 S SPRINGFIOLD	•
	et al., Defendant(s).	Courtroom 11 Richard J. Daley Center	
4		AND OF JUDGMENT AND ENFORCEMENT	Ė.
This		-	ر 5
havi	ng heard evidence, and testimony and having made a findir g o	f.	Ē
	substantial compliance (subject to reinspection) of the v	olations listed in Plaintiff's Complaint	
	[] no imminently dangerous or hazardous conditions	70125/15	orly or concago pepariment or
IT I	S HEREBY ORDERED THAT:	\$250-FINE	Ď SC
1.	The judgment entered on// i	in the amount of $\frac{230}{600}$.00 plus $\frac{560}{600}$.00 court costs for	<u>}</u>
	a total of \$	OBS, Signus Jose Flores, JR.	Š
	City of Chicago, instanter. Execution is to issue instanter. Co	laint. Leave for enforcement on said judgment is granted plaintiff,	5 5
2.	Defendant(s) SOSE FLOYES SR. ANO SO		: 5
4.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d assigns shall be permanently enjoined and restrained from renting,	3
		STORAGE UR PREKINGOLYEN STANDOTHEN JUNK	į
	until the same have established full compliance with the Mun	icipal Code of the City of Chicago as state in this cause and further	÷
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eirs, legatees, successors, and assigns shall mar ain the subject	
	property in a sanitary, boarded, and secure condition while it	remains subject to this injunction.	
3.	•	ion of this matter for the purposes of modification, enforcement, or	
	termination of this permanent injunction, including the adjudi	cation of contempt proceedings.	3
4.	If this case is dismissed subject to reinspection, Defendant(s)		٤
	by/ to arrange for an interior / ex	terior inspection of the subject premises.	2
Puri	fuant to III-8.Ci. Rule 304(a) this order is final and appeala	ole, there being no just reason for delaying enforcement or appeal.	5 5
HEA	ARING DATE: $\frac{7}{13}$ $\frac{31}{2015}$	Judge George F. Scully, Jr.	ē
By:	Amande Buzi	1/3/////////	<u> </u>
PHD Assi	stant Corporation Counsel (131) a S. Georges, Corporation Counsel #90909	JUL 3 1 2015	3
1170 30 N	I. LaSalle, Room 700	Circuit Court - 2013	
,		Judge Courtroom 110/.	
FOR		USE (CORES SE- (302) SPRINGFIELD)	
	312)36-7779 (420 5260638 (Mcmo IZ. 6.0638 (773)-498-5167	

UNOFFICIAL COPY

restrain, correct or abate a violation of the City's zoning laws. By bringing this action, the City seeks, among other relief, a finding that Defendants' activities are illegal and an injunction requiring Defendants to cease all illegal activities immediately.

The Parties and the Property at Issue

- 3. The City is a municipal corporation and a home rule unit of local government organized and existing pursuant to the laws of the State of Illinois.
- 4. Within the county of Cook and the corporate limits of the City there are two parcels of real estate ("subject property") legally described as follows:

THE NORTH & FEET OF LOT 42 AND THE SOUTH 21 FEET OF LOT 43 IN BLOCK 15 IN CALVIN F. TAYLOR'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCKS 11,12,14 AND 15 IN GOODWAY BALESTIER AND PHILLIP'S SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST 1/2 OF THE SOUTHWEST 1/4 OF SECTION 26, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13, EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, IN COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

COMMONLY KNOWN ADDRESS: 3019 S. SPRINGFIELD AVENUE PIN: 16-26-326-007-0000

THE SOUTH EIGHTEEN (18) FEET OF LCT FORTY-TWO (42) AND THE NORTH NINE (9) OF LOT FORTY-ONE (41) IN BLOCK FIFTEEN (15) IN CALVIN F. TAYLOR'S SUBDIVISION OF BLOCKS ELEVEN (11), TWELVE (12), FOURTEEN (14) AND FIFTEEN (15) IN GOODWIN BALESTIER AND PHILLIP'S SUBDIVISION OF THE WEST ONE-HALF (W ½) OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER (SW ¼) OF SECTION 26, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13, EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, IN COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

COMMONLY KNOWN ADDRESS: 3021 S. SPRINGFIELD AVENUE PIN: 16-26-326-008-0000

5. At all times relevant to this Complaint, the subject property consisted of vacant, unimproved lots. Upon information and belief, the total lot area of both lots is approximately 6,750 square feet.