UNOFFICIAL COPYMENT

Drb. Oug.

Doc#: 1530341077 Fee: \$44.00

Karen A.Yarbrough

Cook County Recorder of Deeds Date: 10/30/2015 03:29 PM Pg: 1 of 4

Space reserved for the R

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT - FIRST DISTRICT

CITY OF CYICAGO, a municipal)		
corporation, Plaintiff,)	No.	14 M1 403590
v. 0)	Re:	3817 W. FILLMORE
METROPOLITAN BANK AND TRUST)		
COMPANY AS TRUSTEE FOR)		
TRUST NUMBER 2084, et al.)		
Defendants)	Cour	troom: 1111

ORIZER OF DEMOLITION

This cause coming to be heard on 10/28/15 on the complaint of the Plaintiff, City of Chicago, a municipal corporation ("City"), by Stephen'R. Patton Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, against the following named Defendants:

METROPOLITAN BANK AND TRUST COMPANY AS TRUSTEE FOR TRUST NUMBER 2084, ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF HEALTHCARE AND FAMILY SERVICES, THELMA HENNING, LONGSTREET CAPITAL FUNDING, LLC, UNKNOWN OWNERS, and NONRECORD CLAIMANTS,

The Court being fully advised of the premises of this proceeding and having heard the testimony of the City's inspector, finds that:

1. The Court has jurisdiction of the parties hereto and the subject matter, which is the premises located at the following address: 3817 W. Fillmore, Chicago, Illinois, and legally described as follows:

LOT 48 IN GARFIELD BOULEVARD SYNDICATE ADDITION TO CHICAGO, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF SUBBLOCK 1 IN BLOCK 7 IN CIRCUIT COURT PARTITION OF THE WEST 1/2 OF THE SOUTHWEST 1/4 OF SECTION 14, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13, EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, IN COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

This parcel has a Permanent Index Number of 16-14-324-019.

2. Located on the subject property is a frame garage and two-story brick multi-unit residence.

UNOFFICIAL COPY

3. The Court having heard testimony and evidence finds that the building located on the subject property is dangerous, hazardous, unsafe and beyond reasonable repair under the Unsafe Buildings Statute, 65 ILCS 5/11-31-1 (1996) in that:

И	The building's electrical service has been terminated.
\mathcal{A}	The building's electrical system is missing fixtures.
M	The building's electrical system is stripped and inoperable.
	The building's floors have smoke; fire or water damage.
Z	The building's floors are warped.
W	The bailding's window glazing is broken or missing.
% \	The building's window glazing has cracked panes.
RECEDENCE	The building's heating system is missing ductwork and a turnace.
X	
	The building's joists are over notched. Clacked 11 Sect 15.13-
XX XX	The building's folsts have show, the or water damage.
	The building's mascary has holes and washed out mortar joints.
XI)	The building's exterior vals are missing siding.
X	The building's masonry has smoke, fire or water damage.
Ń	The building's masonry has seen or stress fractures.
M	The building's plaster is broken or rossing.
RRXRRR	The building's plaster has smoke, fire or water damage.
A	The building's plumbing is missing fixtures
X)	The building's plumbing is stripped and inoperable.
ˈxo	The building's rafters have fire damage.
+	The building has evidence of squatter and drug as with
M	Enformer star substem is time damaged.
74	Bulling's stallord is missing in sections.
X	Building's sidend is missing in sections.
X	BusINSUES NOOF, is tire damaged.
/ °	Falacian is full of will and kerris.
N	Signature of the second
X	Electrical substem has exposed with the
٧ ,	Demolition of the subject property, as a whole, is the least restrictive alternative available to
•	Demolition of the subject property, as a whole, is the load restriction of the subject property, as a whole, is the load restriction of the subject property, as a whole, is the load restriction of the subject property, as a whole, is the load restriction of the subject property, as a whole, is the load restriction of the subject property, as a whole, is the load restriction of the subject property, as a whole, is the load restriction of the subject property, as a whole, is the load restriction of the subject property, as a whole, is the load restriction of the subject property.
	effectively abate the conditions now existing there.

WHEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED'THAT:

A. The Court finds that the City has met its obligations under Section 21-410 of the Property Tax .Code and that property tax certificate holders are subject, <u>inter alia</u>, to Sections 21-95, 21-100, 21-105, and 22-35 of the Property Tax Code. The property tax certificate holder LONGSTREET CAPITAL FUNDING, LLC is dismissed as a party defendant.

UNOFFICIAL COPY

- B. An <u>in rem</u> judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiff City of Chicago and against defendants on Counts I and IV of the City's complaint seeking demolition authority.
- C. Counts II, III, V, VI, VII, and VIII of the City's Complaint are voluntarily withdrawn.
- D. Pursuant to the judgment entered above, 65 ILCS 5/11-31-1, and the City's police powers under Article VII of the Illinois Constitution, the City is granted authorization to demolish the building on the subject property, and is entitled to a lien for the costs of demolition, court costs, and other costs enumerated by statute, and/or other statutory remedies.
- E. The authority granted in Paragraph D above shall be effective
- F. Defendant owners are ordered to keep the property secure until it is demolished.
- G. The City's performance under the Order will result in a statutory <u>in rem</u> lien that attaches only to the subject parcel of eal estate. If the City seeks a personal judgment against any individual party to this action, it will proceed by separate motion directed to that party.
- H. Defendants with either possession or control of the subject property shall immediately remove any and all persons occupying the subject property and all personal property from said premises instanter so that said premises will be completely vacant and free of personal property before demolition is commenced. The City's Department of Human Services is authorized to assist in the relocation of any tenants.
- I. Pursuant to Illinois Supreme Court Rule 304(a). This is a final and appealable order and the Court finds that there is no just reason for delaying the enforcement or appeal of this order.
- J. The Court reserves jurisdiction of this cause to enforce the terms of this Order, for the purpose of ascertaining demolition costs for entry of money judgments against the defendant owners, and for the purpose of hearing foreclosure proceedings, as defined by the applicable statutes and ordinances.

Associate Arige Pamelo Hughes Gillespie

PLAINTIFF, CITY OF CHICAGO

Stephen R. Patton, Corporation Counsel

By:

Jayson A Servano

Assistant Corporation Counsel

Building and License Enforcement Division

30 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 700

Chicago, Illinois 60602

Phone: (312)744-0210 Facsimile: (312)744-1054

ATTY NO. 90909

SCT 28 2015

Circuit Court-1953

£

ENTERED

Judge

14 M1 403590 pg. 3

UNOFFICIAL COPY

DE 108

This space reserved for the Recorder of Deeds

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT-FIRST DISTRICT

THE CITY OF CHICAGO, a municipal corporation,

v. Metropolitan Bank, and
Trust Company
et al.,
Company
Company

No: 14 MI 403590

Re: 3817 W. Fillmore

Courtroom 11 11 , Richard J. Daley Center

ORDER OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION

This cause coming to be heard on the set call and on motion of Cour of Plaintiff, the Court having jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter, and being fully advised in the premises:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

until the same has / have established full compliance with the Municipal Code of the City of Chicago as stated in this cause and further order of court. The above named Counter-Defendant(s) and his / her / their / its agents, heirs, it gatees, successors, and assigns shall maintain the subject property in a sanitary, boarded, and secure condition while it remains subject to this injunction.

- 2. The court reserves jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of modification, enforcement, or termination of this permanent injunction.
- 3. Pursuant to Illinois Supreme Court Rule 304(a), this order is final, appealable, and enforceable, the court finding no just cause or reason to delay its enforcement or appeal.

4. Violation of this order will result from Fine of 1500.

HEARING DATE: 10 / 28/15

Panula (4 19trapit Court-1953

By: Secration Counsel

Assistant Corporation Counsel
Mara S. Georges, Corporation Counsel #90909

30 N. LaSalle, Room 700

Chicago, IL 60602 (312) 744-8791

FORM DEMO.9002 rev. 6/2010

Pink Copy for Defendant(s) (photocopy if required)