Courtroom 11 0 7

Doc# 1718842158 Fee \$40.00

KAREN A. YARBROUGH

COOK COUNTY RECORDER OF DEEDS

DATE: 07/07/2017 02:57 PM PG: 1 OF 2

This space reserved for the Recorder of Deeds

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT-FIRST DISTRICT

Praintiff,) No: 16 M1 401371
Santiago Rojo Zuniga	Re: 3007 N. Gresham
Santiago Rojo Zuniga	Courtroom 11 1, Richard J. Daley Center
Defendant(s).)
Agreed order of permanent in function and of judgment and enforcement	
This cause coming to be heard by the Court, the Court is ving jurisdiction over the subject matter, being fully advised in the premises,	
having heard evidence, and testimony and having made a fin ing of:	
substantial compliance (subject to reinspection) of the	ric lations listed in Plaintiff's Complaint
[] no imminently dangerous or hazardous conditions	·O,
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:	46
1. The judgment entered on	
City of Chicago, instanter. Execution is to issue instanter. Co	plaint. Leave for enforcement on said judgment is granted plaintiff, bunt I is dismissed as to all other defendants not named above.
2. Defendant(s) Santiago Rojo Zunig	α 16
and his / her / their / its agents, heirs, legatees, successors, and assigns shall be permanently enjoined and restrained from renting using leasing decompying the USING property for any type of restaurch in 1000 line business until the same have established full compliance with the young patential code of the Gity of Chicago assistated in this cause and further order of court. Defendant(s) and his their filter agents, he is legatees successors and assigns shall in intain the subject property in a sanitary; boarded, and secure condition while it remains subject to this injunction.	
3. This matter is off the court's call. The court reserves jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of modification, enforcement, or termination of this permanent injunction, including the adjudication of contempt proceedings.	
4. If this case is dismissed subject to reinspection, Defendant(s)	must call the assigned City inspector at (312) 743
by/	xterior inspection of the subject premises.
Pursuant to Ill. S.Ct. Rule 304(a), this order is final and appealable, there being no just reason for delaying enforcement or appeal.	
HEARING DATE: 6 / 23 / 17	
11000	Juda ()
By: Sand	Judge Lion A. March
Assistant/Corporation Counsel Andle (SO) Defendant Mara S. Georges, Corporation Counsel #90909	JUN 23 7015
30 N. LaSalle, Room 700	- 23 2017
Chicago, IL 60602 (312) 744-8791	Judge reuse Courtroom 11 0
FORM CONS.9005 rev. 5/2009	Judge ir cuit Court 2083

THE CITY OF CHICAGO, a mi nicipal corporation,

UNOFFICIAL COPY

City's zoning laws. By bringing this action, the City seeks, among other relief, a finding that Defendants' activities are illegal and an injunction requiring Defendants to cease all illegal activities immediately.

The Parties and the Property at Issue

- 3. The City is a municipal corporation and a home rule unit of local government organized and existing pursuant to the laws of the State of Illinois.
- 4. Within the county of Cook and the corporate limits of the City there are two parcels of real estate legally described as:

LOT 27 IN BLOCK 1 IN ALBERT WISNER'S SUBDIVISION OF LOTS 13 AND 14 OF BRAND'S SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 26, TOWNSHIP 40 NORTH, RANGE 13 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIALL'N COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

The property has a commonly known address 3007 N. Gresham Avenue, Chicago, Illinois, and permanent index numbers of 13-26-215-027-0000 ("Subject Property").

- 5. The subject property contained a two-story, frame, multi-family residence with a basement and an attic ("subject building") and a garage ("garage").
- 6. Upon information and belief, the subject property's total 'cr area is approximately 3,125 square feet.
- 7. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant Santiago Rojo Zuniga was the record owner of the subject property, having obtained title by Quit Claim Deed dated March 29, 2013 and recorded as document number 1308840000 on March 29, 2013.
- 8. At all times relevant to this Complaint, the subject property was located in an RS3 Residential District. See Municipal Code of Chicago §§17-2-0102 et seq. (2015).