IFIED COPY (Rev. 6/85)

United States Bistrict Court Northern District of Illinois

Eastern Division

tuart Cunningham, Clerk of the United States District Court ern District of Illinois, do hereby attest and certify that the annexed e full, true, and correct copy of the original(s) on file ffice and in w legal custody.

> IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, subscribed my name and aff of the aforesaid Court at Chicago, Illinois

H. STUART CUNNINGHAM

By: Dorothy Hidual

UNOFFICIAL COPY

AO 451 (Rev. 2/86) Certification of Judgment

United States District Court Judge Hahr CERTIFICATION OF JUDGMEN FOR REGISTRATION IN KAMAL DUTT, SUSHIL K. ANOTHER DISTRICT SHARMA, RAJ KHURANA, INC.V. A.K. MATHEW, GOPAI Case Number: 88-4231 LALMALANI and , Clerk of this United States Digtrict Court certify that the attached judgment is a true and correct copy of the original judgmententered in this ac-, as it appears in the records of this court, and that 1989 MARCH judgment has been aplea liuted Appellate Procedure has leen

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I sign my name and affix the seal of this Court on

1989 APRIL 19, Date

(By) Deputy Clerk

Indert the appropriate language: . . . "no notice of appeal from this judgment has been filed, and no motion of any kind listed in Rule 4(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure has been filed." . . . "no notice of appeal from this judgment has been filed, and any motions of the kinds listed in Rule (a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure | 1 have been disposed of the latest order disposing of such a motion having been entered on [date]. . . . "an appeal was taken from this judgment and the judgment was affirmed by mandate of the Court of Appeals issued on [date]." . . . "an appeal was taken from this judgment and the appeal was dismissed by order entered on [date]."

[*Note: The motions listed in Rule 4(a), Fed. R. App. P., are motions: for judgment notwithstanding the verdict; to amend or make additional findings of fact; to alter or amend the judgment; for a new trial; and for an extension of time for filing a notice of appeal.]

UNOFFICIAL, C

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

POPEYES, INC.

CIVIL ACTION

NUMBER 88-4271

KAMAL DUTT, SUSHIL K SHARMA, RAJ KHURANA, SECTION "I"

a. K. Mathew, Gopal Laumalani and BARRY A. SWARTZ

MAG. (3)EPT-01

T#3333 TRAN 9171 05/03/89 10143100

JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT UPON APPLICATION TO THE COURT

In this action the defendants, Gopal Lalmalani, A. K. Mathew, Sushil K. Sharma, Kamai Dute, Raj Khurana, and Barry A. Swartz, having been regularly served with the Summons and Complaint, and having failed to plead or otherwise defend; any time for pleading or otherwise defending having expired; and the default of said defendants, Gopal Lalmalani, A. K. Mathew, Sushil K. Sharma, Kamal Dutt, Raj Khurana, and Barry A. Swartz, in the premises having been duly entered according to law; upon the application of said plaintiff, and due proof having been made, judgment is hereby entered against said defendants.

WHEREFORE, by virtue of the law and by reason of the premises aforesaid:

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said plaintiff do have and recover from said defendants, Gopal Lalmalani, A. K. Mathew, Sushil K. Sharma, Kamal Dutt, Raj Khurana, and Barry A. Swartz, jointly, severally and in solido, the amount of \$19,739.19 plus accrued interest in the amount of \$4,251.56 plus interest on the principal balance of \$19,739.19 at the rate of 1-1/2 percent per month (\$9.73 per day) from March 3, 1989 until paid, together with said plaintiff's costs and disbursements incurred in this action amounting to the sum of \$120.00, and that the plaintiff have

CLERK'S CONFIGEROR

A TRUE COPY RENDERED March 36, 1988, at New Orleans, Louislana,

MAR - 6 1989

Court

Process

89198395

UNOFFICIAL COPY

Property or Coot County Clerk's Office