

TRUST DEED

UNOFFICIAL COPY

94185206

This instrument was prepared by LAW OFFICES LAWRENCE FRIEDMAN 19 S. LASALLE, 10TH FL. CHICAGO, IL 60603

THE ABOVE SPACE FOR RECORDER'S USE ONLY

THIS TRUST DEED, made FEBRUARY 25, 1994, between DONALD BROOKS SR. AND ANGELA BROOKS, HIS WIFE AS JOINT TENANTS AS TO AN UNDIVIDED 1/2 INTEREST AND BRENDA KAY MARTIN AN UNDIVIDED 1/2 INTEREST ALL AS TENANTS IN COMMON TIMOTHY R. YJEILL of COOK County Illinois, herein referred to as TRUSTEE, witness THAT WHEREAS the Mortgagors are justly indebted to the legal holder of the Promissory Note herein called "Note" hereinafter described, said legal holder or holders herein referred to as Holders of the Note evidenced by one certain Promissory Note of the Mortgagors of even date herewith, made payable as stated therein and delivered, in and by which said Note the Mortgagors promise to pay an Amount Financed of TWENTY FIVE THOUSAND SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTY DOLLARS AND SIXTEEN CENTS (\$25,750.16) Dollars with interest thereon, payable in installments as follows:

FOUR HUNDRED FIFTEEN DOLLARS AND FORTY FOUR CENTS (\$415.44) Dollars or more on the 5TH day of APRIL, 1994, and FOUR HUNDRED FIFTEEN DOLLARS AND FORTY FOUR CENTS Dollars or more on the same day of each month thereafter, except a final payment of \$415.44 Dollars, until said Agreement is fully paid and except that the final payment, if not sooner paid, shall be due on the 5TH day of APRIL, 1994.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Mortgagors to secure the payment of the said sum of money in accordance with the terms, provisions and limitations of this trust deed, and the performance of the covenants and agreements herein contained, by the Mortgagors to be performed, and also in consideration of the sum of One Dollar in hand paid, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, do by these presents CONVEY and WARRANT unto the Trustee, its successors and assigns the following described Real Estate and all of their estate, right, title and interest therein, situate, lying and being in the COUNTY OF COOK AND STATE OF ILLINOIS, to wit:

THE SOUTH 33 FEET OF LOT 68 IN TODD'S SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTH 1/2 OF THE SOUTH 1/2 OF THE SOUTH 1/2 OF THE EAST 1/2 OF THE NORTH EAST 1/4 OF SECTION 5, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 13, EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, IN COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

COMMON ADDRESS: 1324 N. PARKSIDE, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60651

P.I.N. 16-05-222-029

94185206

DEPT-01 RECORDING \$23.00
16:05:55 FRAM 3289 02/25/94 14:32:00
1324 N. PARKSIDE CHICAGO IL 60651
COOK COUNTY RECORDER

which, with the property hereinafter described, is referred to herein as the "premises."

TOGETHER with all improvements, tenements, easements, fixtures, and appurtenances thereto belonging, and all rents, issues and profits thereof for so long and during all such times as Mortgagors may be entitled thereto (which are pledged hereby and on a parity with said real estate and not secondarily) and all apparatus, equipment or articles now or hereafter therein or thereon used to supply heat, gas, air conditioning, water, light, power, refrigeration (whether single units or centrally controlled), and ventilation, including (without restricting the foregoing), screens, window shades, storm doors and windows, floor coverings, awnings, stoves and water heaters. All of the foregoing are declared to be a part of said real estate whether physically attached thereto or not, and it is agreed that all similar apparatus, equipment or articles hereafter placed in the premises by the mortgagors or their successors or assigns shall be considered as constituting part of the real estate.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the premises unto the said Trustee, its successors and assigns, forever, for the purposes and upon the uses and trusts herein set forth, free from all rights and benefits under and by virtue of the Homestead Exemption Laws of the State of Illinois, which said rights and benefits the Mortgagors do hereby expressly release and waive.

THIS TRUST DEED MAY NOT BE ASSUMED WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE LEGAL HOLDERS OF THE NOTE THAT THIS TRUST DEED SECURES.

This trust deed consists of two pages. The covenants, conditions and provisions appearing on page 2 (the reverse side of this trust deed) are incorporated herein by reference and are a part hereof and shall be binding on the Mortgagors, their heirs, successors and assigns.

WITNESS the hand, S and seal, S of Mortgagors the day and year first above written.

Signature of Donald Brooks Sr. [SEAL] Signature of Angela Brooks [SEAL]
DONALD BROOKS, SR. ANGELA BROOKS, HIS WIFE FOR THE PURPOSE OF [SEAL]
BRENDA KAY MARTIN, FOR THE PURPOSE OF [SEAL] RELEASING ANY HOMESTEAD INTEREST IN SAID [SEAL]
RELEASING ANY HOMESTEAD INTEREST IN SAID PREMISES
STATE OF ILLINOIS,
County COOK

"OFFICIAL SEAL"
ROBERT CALCINA
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF ILLINOIS
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 11/26/97

SS. a Notary Public in and for and residing in said County, in the State aforesaid, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT DONALD BROOKS, SR., ANGELA BROOKS & BRENDA KAY MARTIN who personally known to me to be the same person S whose name S ARE subscribed to the foregoing instrument, appeared before me this day in person and acknowledged that THEY signed, sealed and delivered the said instrument as THEIR free and voluntary act, for the uses and purposes therein set forth.

Given under my hand and Notarial Seal this 25TH day FEBRUARY, 1994
Robert Calcina Notary Public

Handwritten number 7300/98

Blc-12

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
HEADQUARTERS, WASHINGTON, D. C. 20315
FORM NO. 10 (REV. 1-25-60)

1. The purpose of this form is to provide a means for the recording of the results of the performance of the duties of the position held by the individual named herein. It is to be filled out by the individual's supervisor or other person authorized to evaluate his performance.

2. This form should be filled out for each individual who is assigned to a position for which a performance standard has been established. It should be filled out at the end of the period for which the performance is being evaluated. The period for which the performance is being evaluated should be stated in the space provided.

3. The performance of the individual should be evaluated in terms of the following factors: (a) the quality of the work done; (b) the quantity of the work done; (c) the initiative shown; (d) the cooperation shown; (e) the dependability shown; (f) the leadership shown; (g) the originality shown; (h) the judgment shown; (i) the initiative shown; (j) the cooperation shown; (k) the dependability shown; (l) the leadership shown; (m) the originality shown; (n) the judgment shown.

4. The performance of the individual should be evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being the lowest and 5 being the highest. The scale is defined as follows: 1 - Poor; 2 - Fair; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent.

5. The performance of the individual should be evaluated in terms of the following factors: (a) the quality of the work done; (b) the quantity of the work done; (c) the initiative shown; (d) the cooperation shown; (e) the dependability shown; (f) the leadership shown; (g) the originality shown; (h) the judgment shown; (i) the initiative shown; (j) the cooperation shown; (k) the dependability shown; (l) the leadership shown; (m) the originality shown; (n) the judgment shown.

6. The performance of the individual should be evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being the lowest and 5 being the highest. The scale is defined as follows: 1 - Poor; 2 - Fair; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent.

7. The performance of the individual should be evaluated in terms of the following factors: (a) the quality of the work done; (b) the quantity of the work done; (c) the initiative shown; (d) the cooperation shown; (e) the dependability shown; (f) the leadership shown; (g) the originality shown; (h) the judgment shown; (i) the initiative shown; (j) the cooperation shown; (k) the dependability shown; (l) the leadership shown; (m) the originality shown; (n) the judgment shown.

8. The performance of the individual should be evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being the lowest and 5 being the highest. The scale is defined as follows: 1 - Poor; 2 - Fair; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent.

9. The performance of the individual should be evaluated in terms of the following factors: (a) the quality of the work done; (b) the quantity of the work done; (c) the initiative shown; (d) the cooperation shown; (e) the dependability shown; (f) the leadership shown; (g) the originality shown; (h) the judgment shown; (i) the initiative shown; (j) the cooperation shown; (k) the dependability shown; (l) the leadership shown; (m) the originality shown; (n) the judgment shown.

10. The performance of the individual should be evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being the lowest and 5 being the highest. The scale is defined as follows: 1 - Poor; 2 - Fair; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent.

11. The performance of the individual should be evaluated in terms of the following factors: (a) the quality of the work done; (b) the quantity of the work done; (c) the initiative shown; (d) the cooperation shown; (e) the dependability shown; (f) the leadership shown; (g) the originality shown; (h) the judgment shown; (i) the initiative shown; (j) the cooperation shown; (k) the dependability shown; (l) the leadership shown; (m) the originality shown; (n) the judgment shown.

12. The performance of the individual should be evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being the lowest and 5 being the highest. The scale is defined as follows: 1 - Poor; 2 - Fair; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent.

13. The performance of the individual should be evaluated in terms of the following factors: (a) the quality of the work done; (b) the quantity of the work done; (c) the initiative shown; (d) the cooperation shown; (e) the dependability shown; (f) the leadership shown; (g) the originality shown; (h) the judgment shown; (i) the initiative shown; (j) the cooperation shown; (k) the dependability shown; (l) the leadership shown; (m) the originality shown; (n) the judgment shown.

14. The performance of the individual should be evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being the lowest and 5 being the highest. The scale is defined as follows: 1 - Poor; 2 - Fair; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent.

15. The performance of the individual should be evaluated in terms of the following factors: (a) the quality of the work done; (b) the quantity of the work done; (c) the initiative shown; (d) the cooperation shown; (e) the dependability shown; (f) the leadership shown; (g) the originality shown; (h) the judgment shown; (i) the initiative shown; (j) the cooperation shown; (k) the dependability shown; (l) the leadership shown; (m) the originality shown; (n) the judgment shown.

16. The performance of the individual should be evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being the lowest and 5 being the highest. The scale is defined as follows: 1 - Poor; 2 - Fair; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent.

17. The performance of the individual should be evaluated in terms of the following factors: (a) the quality of the work done; (b) the quantity of the work done; (c) the initiative shown; (d) the cooperation shown; (e) the dependability shown; (f) the leadership shown; (g) the originality shown; (h) the judgment shown; (i) the initiative shown; (j) the cooperation shown; (k) the dependability shown; (l) the leadership shown; (m) the originality shown; (n) the judgment shown.

18. The performance of the individual should be evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being the lowest and 5 being the highest. The scale is defined as follows: 1 - Poor; 2 - Fair; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent.

19. The performance of the individual should be evaluated in terms of the following factors: (a) the quality of the work done; (b) the quantity of the work done; (c) the initiative shown; (d) the cooperation shown; (e) the dependability shown; (f) the leadership shown; (g) the originality shown; (h) the judgment shown; (i) the initiative shown; (j) the cooperation shown; (k) the dependability shown; (l) the leadership shown; (m) the originality shown; (n) the judgment shown.

20. The performance of the individual should be evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being the lowest and 5 being the highest. The scale is defined as follows: 1 - Poor; 2 - Fair; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent.

94185206