95362947

#00598

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS
COUNTY DEPARTMENT - CHANCERY DIVISION

RIVER BANK LOFTS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, AN ILLINOIS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

MITCHELL F. CKUSTO,

Defendant.

940 8881

COMPLAINT IN CHANCERY FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND OTHER RELIEF

NOW COMES the plaintiff, RIVET DANK LOFTS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, AN ILLINOIS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, by Alan O. Amos & Associates, P.C., its attorneys and, for its Complaint in Chancery for a Declaratory Judgment and other relief against the defendant, MITCHELL F. CRUSTO, respectfully states as follows:

1. The Plaintiff, River Bank Lofts Limited Partnership, an Illinois Limited Partnership, is a limited partnership organized and existing under the laws of the State of Illinois. At all times relevant hereto it was, and currently is, in the business of renovating a 6 story commercial building located at 550 North Kingsbury Street in Chicago into "loft" dwelling units and converting the said real estate into 136 condominium dwelling units and 142 condominium parking units. At all times the said real estate was, and is, being marketed as, and is commonly known as, "River Bank Lofts".

95362947

PIN NO: 17-09-126-007-0000

Proberty of Cook County Clark's Office

953629.

- 2. The defendant, Mitchell F. Crusto, is, upon information and belief, a real estate investor and, in addition, claims to be an attorney at law.
- 3. In June, 1994 Plaintiff commenced the marketing of units in River Bank Lofts. At said time a model unit and sales office had been constructed.
- 4. In June, 1994 Defendant did present himself at the sales office of River Bank Lofts and did indicate an interest in purchasing condominium units. At such time Defendant was known to Plaintiff by reason of his having entered into contracts to purchase 9 condominium dvelling units and 9 condominium parking units at a condominium development known as "Peterson Lofts Condominium" located at 523 South Plymouth Court in Chicago at a total cost of \$1,230,549.00. At all relevant times Peterson Lofts Condominium was being developed by an artiliate of Plaintiff and marketed by the Century 21, Kruger & Associates, Ltd., the same real estate broker marketing River Bank Lofts.
- 5. On or about June 12, 1994 Plaintiff did make a written offer to Plaintiff to purchase condominium units 109 and P-15 at River Bank Lofts at a price of \$120,455.00 contingent upon 95% financing. Plaintiff did not accept the offer as made but did, on June 14, 1994, make a counter-offer to sell the units with a 75% financing contingency and did further provide in said counter-offer that the sale was to be "contingent upon Buyer securing loan committment (sic) on Peterson Loft units by 6-24-94." Plaintiff did not accept the counter-offer but instead offered to make the

mortgage contingency at 80% of the purchase price. This proposed modification was never accepted by Defendant. At no time did Plaintiff deliver to Defendant any written acceptance of any offer as made by Defendant for the purchase of said condominium units.

- 6. On or about June 12, 1994 Plaintiff did make a written offer to Plaintiff to purchase condominium units 110 and P-14 at River Bank Lofts at a price of \$148,955.00 contingent upon 95% financing. Plaintiff did not accept the offer as made but did, on June 14, 1994, make a counter-offer to sell the units with a 75% financing contingency and did further provide in said counter-offer that the sale was to be "contingent upon Buyer, securing loan committment (sic) on Peterson Loft units by 6-24-94." Plaintiff did not accept the counter-offer but instead offered to make the mortgage contingency at 80% of the purchase price. This proposed modification was never accepted by Defendant. At no time did Plaintiff deliver to Defendant any written acceptance of any offer as made by Defendant for the purchase of said confominium units nor did it convey any oral acceptance.
- 7. On or about June 12, 1994 Defendant did tinder to Plaintiff his check in the amount of \$1,000.00 and an unexecuted and incomplete Condominium Purchase Agreement which indicated his apparent intent to purchase condominium units 115 and P-120 in River Bank Lofts for a total consideration of \$157,500.00. At no time did Plaintiff accept any such "offer."
- 8. On or about June 12, 1994 Defendant did tender to Plaintiff an executed offer to purchase units 117 and P-117 at a

price of \$156,900.00 subject to a 95% financing contingency. At no time did Plaintiff accept the said offer.

- 9. On or about June 20, 1994 Defendant did tender to Plaintiff an executed offer to purchase units 615 and P-122 at a price of \$157,000.00 subject to a 80% financing contingency. At no time did Plaintiff accept the said offer.
- 10. At all times relevant Plaintiff has targeted its marketing of River Bank Lofts units to individuals who will reside in the condominium dwelling units which they purchase. Section 13-72-020(B)(9) of the Property Report specifically provides:

A single purchaser may purchase more than one Unit. However, the number of Units which any single purchaser will be allowed is a matter entirely within the Developer 3 discretion.

Section 5 of the Conditions and Stipulations of the Condominium Purchase Agreement further provides, in relevant part:

Purchase hereby represents and varrants as of the date hereof and as of the Closing Date that Purchaser is acquiring the Purchased Unit for personal use and not for resale on or prior to the Closing Date and there in acquiring the Purchased Unit the Purchaser is not acting as agent or nominee for any undisclosed party.

The purpose of restricting sales to actual users of the Units is to prevent a situation from developing whereby a number of investor owned units are marketed at the same time thus driving down the resale price of units. Potential purchasers prefer to reside in a condominium project occupied by unit owners rather than lessees of investors and such is an incentive to purchasers to purchase.

Mortgage lenders, furthermore, may refuse to make loans to

individuals purchasing condominium units in buildings which have a significant number of investor owned units.

- Defendant was not attempting to purchase any of the River Bank Loft Condominium Units for his personal use but rather for re-sale. Defendant initially represented to Plaintiff that he did intend to use such units for his personal use. For such reason, and for the reason that Plaintiff reasonably believed that financing at the contingency set forth by Defendant would not be available to Defendant to enable him to purchase the units at River Bank Lofts (in addition to the Advilling units which he was purchasing at Peterson Lofts Condominium) Plaintiff did not accept any of the offers of Plaintiff to purchase condominium units at River Bank Lofts.
- 12. Despite the fact that no such offer of Defendant was so accepted by Plaintiff, Defendant individually and through an attorney has persisted in claiming either that Plaintiff is contractually bound to sell River Bank Lofts Condominium Units to him or that Defendant has a "right" to purchase River Bank Loft Condominium Units from Plaintiff on the terms requested by Defendant and that the failure of Plaintiff to sell is a violation of some "duty" claimed to be owed by Plaintiff to Defendant. Plaintiff claims that it has a right to limit sales to investors and that it has no contractual or other obligation to sell to Defendant.
 - 13. An actual controversy exists between the parties hereto

95362947

UNOFFICIAL COPY

as to whether Plaintiff is contractually or otherwise legally bound to sell condominium units valued at approximately \$740,810.00 to Defendant upon his terms or whether such units may be sold to other non-investor purchasers. A determination by this Court would terminate such controversy and allow Plaintiff to market said units without regard to the claims of Defendant.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, RIVER BANK LOFTS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, AN ILLINOIS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, prays as follows:

- A. For a judgment, declaratory in nature, adjudicating that Plaintiff is not legally bound to sell River Bank Loft Condominium units to Defendent.
 - B. For such other ralief as equity may require.

ALAN O. AMOS & ASSOCIATES P.C.

By:

Actorneys for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATION

HAROLD LICHTERMAN, manager of River Bank Lofts, L.L.C., general partner of River Bank Lofts Limited Partnership, an Illinois Limited Partnership, under penalties as provided by law pursuant to Section 1-109 of the Code of Civil Procedure. Certifies that the statements set forth in the foregoing Complaint are true and correct, except as to matters therein stated to be on information and belief and as to such matters the understand certifies as aforesaid that he verily believes the same to be true.

#00598
Alan O. Amos & Associates, P.C.
Suite 5200
3 First National Plaza
Chicago, IL 60602
(312) 419-1300

HAROLG PLACE TO THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS, DO CARTEY THAT THE DOCUMENT TO WHICH THIS SEAL IS AFFIXED IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY.

CLERR OF THE CRUCLIF COLER OF COOK COUNTY, ULINOIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CHANCERY DIVISION

COUNTY TREASURER EDWARD J. ROSEWELL COOK Receipt: 9524/123 Employee : GARY' 05/24/95 Page :

P I N : 17-09-126-007-0000 Volume : 000500

Address : NONE

Name : SVCS WALLACE COMPUTER

Mailing : NONE

Legal Description :

Sub-Division Name: ASSESSORS DIV PT ERIE ST E CHGO RIV E NW

Legal: .SSESSORS DIV OF PARTG (S OF ERIE ST AND E OF THE CHICAGO RIVER)

OF THE E 1/2 OF THE N W 1/4 OF SEC 9-39-14 (BEING "KINGSBURY TRAC T" AND THAT PART FORMERLY BLKS 13, 14,15 & 16 OF HIGGINS LAW & CO

'S AND TO CHICAGO REC DATE: 04/25/1879 DOC NO: 00219355

ST-TN-RG BLOCK 09-39-14 0000003 LOT

我们在我们还在你们会在这次更近了在今间的在你的看到这里的有什么是我们还没有看到我们也没有这样的的,我们也不是这个不是这样的,可以有什么是是这么更远了在你们就是这个人,我们就是我们就是我们的,我们就是我们的这样的,我们就是我们的这种的,我们就是我们的我们就是我们的我们就是我们的,我们就是我们的我们就是我们的我们的我们的我们就是我们的我们的我们的我们的我们的我们的我们的 This information is furnished as a public accommodation. The office of county collector disclaims all liability or responsibility for any error or inaccuracy that may be contained herein. County Clark's Office



Michille M. Hughes, Esq 221 No. La Salle Struct Suite 1100 0, Til 60401 Michille M. Hughe.

221 No. La Salle Struct
Suite 1100
-10, III 60601