1

3693438

AFFIDAVIT

DAMES E. LAND states on onth: no represents Richard Westerlund and Adeline Westerlund In the sete of the real estate described on exhibite A attached serve to Bryan and Gwynn Witt.

Affian, further states he drew the judgment of divorce in cause # 84022546 and has personal knowledge the real estate described in exhibite A is the same as the marital name evaluated in said judgment and that said sellors have agreed to devide all proceeds of sale equally as is required by the decree.

James V. Laint, Lital

Subscribed and Sworn to before me this day of March, 1988.

Notary Public

"OFFICIAL SEAL"
ALICE HEATH
Notary Public, State of Illinois
My Commission Expires March 9, 1990

39-14-149025-COC

Subject to the Estates, Easements. Incumbrances and Charges noted or the following memorials hage of this Cortificate.

Witness Ily hand and Micial Seal

THENTY-SEVENTH (27th), day of JANHARY

EU 1/21/69

Megistrar of Fittes, Cook Gunty, Minois.

OWNER RICHARD J. NESTERITIND. ET. UX. CERTIFICATE NO 1067172 VOLUME 2140-1 PAGE

2432804

LICATION NO COMENT NO

TAX NUMBER PERMANENT

GERTIFICATE OWNERS DUPLICATE

Illinois-60633

derson & Son ltimore Ave., OF TITLE

Date Of First Registration

CERTIFICATE NO.

INTERIORITATION OF STATES

I Tidney M Olsen Registrar of Titles in

RICHARD J. WESTERLIND AND AMELINE A. WESTERLIND (Charled to each other)
NOT IN TENANCY IN CONTON, BUT I'M JOINT TENANCY

County of coor - and State of ILLINOIS -

Win VILLAGE OF

ARETHE OURNEY & of an estate in for simple, in the following described

land situated in the County of Book and State of Minois.

BESCRIPTION OF LAND

CAUTION

All documents in any way affecting the title to the premises described within must be filled in the Registrar's Office and in order to save inconvenie and delay kindly follow the legal description on Certificate and by so doing you will help the efficiency of the Torters System and the public in general.

SIDNEY R. OLSEN
Registrar of Torrera Titles

COOK COUNTY in the Hate aforesaid do hereby certify that

COUNTY OF CONNOFFICIAL COPY

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT-DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION

IN RE: THE	MARRIAGE OF)	
)	
WESTERLUND.	ADELINIE)	
	Petitioner)	
)	
VS.) NO.	84D22546
)	
WESTERLUND,	RICHARD)	

Respondent

This cause having been assigned for hearing as a contested trial for Discolution of Marriage on the grounds of mental cruelty and both parties having been represented by their respective

JUDGEMENT FOR DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE

attorneys.

Every facet of the case was contested except there was a stipulation as to the property of the parties.

"FACTS:

The Petitioner testified that she is 55 years of age and that the parties were married on September 21, 1963 at Chicago, Illinois. There was one child born of the marriage who is 21 years of age and going to nursing school.

She testified to a course of conduct by Respondent going back to when the child of the parties was in her arms, it was climaxed to by the Respondent shouting out in a crowded restuarant that he wanted a divorce.

She testified that she is a secretary making a salary of just over \$500 every two weeks with a pension valued at \$7200. She further testified that at the time of the marriage that she had a sayings account that she lept seperate, now in the amount of \$16,691 and in

 $\mathbf{r} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{r}}$

Property of Cook County Clerk's Office

I HEREBY CERTIFY THE ABOVE TO BE CORRECT.

DATE 3-1- 88 /200 / 1 / 1000

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILL.

UNOFFICIAL C

1970 she inherited \$12,270 from her father and deposited \$10,000 in the bank and the present value of that account is \$39,052. She has a \$11,631 IRA that total was the result of her adding \$2000.00 from her seperate account. She further testified that the parties have a home that is paid for (the value was stipulated at \$59,000 based on a 1985 apprisal); a 1983 car; a \$7300 IRA in Respondent's name, \$1600 account in Respondent's name; a joint account of \$27,084; household furnishings and Respondent's pension.

She firther testified that Respondent would not work but wanted to get maintenance (alimony) from her.

Respondent adults that he announced in a crowded restuarant that he wanted a divorce but states that he was provoked by Petitioner's "harassment for him to get a job."

He testified that he worked for 17 years during the marriage at Wisconsin Steel as a fire brick layer. The plant was closed in 1980 and he was without a job and of has not attempted any training or schooling in another field to find employment.

His testimony is conflicting as to his tobe since 1980, his membership in his union and as to his present ability to work. It ranges from jobs as a brick layer at \$15.00 per hour to a janitorial job at \$2.00 an hour at the Flossmor High School (well Sciore the minimum wage). He indicated that might have worked the lat 3 years after he lost his Wisconsin Steel job. He stated that he has not worked since the Dissolution proceeding was filed (in the last three years) and has had an ulcer operation, an abcess removed and a hernia operation. His testimony indicates that I have repeatedly looked for \$200.0000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.0000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.0000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.0000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.0000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.000 \$200.0000 \$200.0000 \$200.0000 \$200.0000 \$200.0000 \$200.0000 \$200.0000 \$20

Property of Cook County Clerk's Office

HEREBY CERTIFY THE ABOVE TO BE CORRECT.

DATE 31-88

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILL.

a job to 2-300 times per year. He was given an opportunity to bring in his Doctor to testify as to his present physical condition but he did not. His last operation was a hernia operation which occurred in April of 1987.

Respondent stated that his wife uses the car to drive back and forth to work. He admitted on cross examination that his wife had a anvings account before they were married and that she inherited money from her lather during the marriage that was in an account in her name and did not know whether Petitioner ever put any marital moning the account.

In connection with the Petitioner's accounts it developed that Respondent had been named as a beneficiary on her accounts and that one or both of Petitioner's accounts named her as Trustee.

The evidence further reflected that the Respondent has a pension or an award from the Federal Government in the amount of \$4000 a year and that he is a member of a class action suit to recover various benefits including certain pension, retirement and other benefits.

One of the contentions of the Petition van that Respondent said he would not get a job as he was going to get alimony from the Petitioner, a fact that was borne out by Respondent's petition for temporary maintenance that was denied. Respondent denied telling Petitioner this and that he filed the petition.

This was a very hotly contested trial with many interruptions and numerous none evidentsy objections and a great deal of leading in direct examinations.

Much of the time of the trail involved areas that could or should

870145 589

Property of Cook County Clerk's Office

I HEREBY CERTIFY THE ABOVE TO BE CORRECT.

DATE 3-1-88

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILL.

have been established by adequate discovery,

An issue was developed by Respondent's effort to sustain his position that he had contracted to pay \$275.00 as rent in a trailer park instead of \$175.00 he had actually contracted for. Respondent's testimony was definitely evasive with the obvious desire to perjury himself. The Respondent's attorney took issue with the court and asked for a mistrial which was denied. A later stipulation revealed that Respondent had contracted for \$175.00 and not \$275.00 as he testified.

Respondent indicated some concern about compliance with discovery by Petitioner. Apparently the information was furnished.

Certainly the court will enforce the rules of discovery even to sanctions if a lawyer pursues failures to respond to discovery.

The Court Finds:

That after observing the witnesses, considering their demeanor and determining their credibility, the Court finds the Respondent was a witness lacking in candor.

That the Patition has proved her grounds (mental cruelty) for Dissolution.

The Potitioner is an able body person and is employed and is capable of supporting hereself.

That Respondent has had three operations and worked on his job for seventeen years as allies brick layer and has not had a sustaining job since 1980. He has had a job as a brick layer paying \$15.00 an hour since 1980. Although he has not acquired any additional training or education in a new field he is a brick layer and should

670145 590

Property of Cook County Clerk's Office

HEREBY CERTIFY THE ABOVE TO BE CORRECT.

DATE 3-1-38

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY. ILL

be able to get employment if he had a sincere desire to do so, There's no adequate evidence of his inability to work,

That the following is marital property:

ITEM	VALUE
Marital Home	\$59,000
1983 Ford	not stated
IRA	7,300
Bank Account	1,600
Joint Bank Account	27,084
*IRA	11,631
Household Furnishing	not stated
Petitioner's Pension	7,000
Respondent's Pension	4.000 PA
*Petitioner deposited \$2000 to her	
came from her con-marital account.	The \$2000 was transmuted
and became marktal property when it	was commingled with the
marital IRA.	,

It is the unrefuted testimony that Petitioner inherited \$10,000 that was deposited in her name grove and renamed in her name and was never commingled with any marital property (now \$39,052).

The evidence is the same on the \$15,091 account she had at the time of the marriage, while she withdrew finds for the benefit of the marriage she never made any marital deposits

In both accounts she had various beneficiaries including Respondent none of them (beneficiaries) had a vested interest.

... The evilence of Respondent's drinking has no relevance in these proceedings.

Respondent's are.

a catholic has little merit consider.

ioner and his involvement with the "single unmarried" grow,

Petitioner has been the basic provider in the marital house since Respondent's allegations that he did not want a divorce because he is a catholic has little merit considering his conduct toward Petitioner and his involvement with the "single unmarried" groups.

1980.

Property of Cook County Clark's Office

HEREBY CERTIFY THE ABOVE TO BE CORRECT.

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COCK COUNTY, KL.

THIS ORDER IS THE COMMAND OF THE CIRCUIT COURT AND VIOLATION THEREOF IS SUBJECT TO THE WAJ BHT TO YTJANA

IT IS TREREFORE ORDERED:

- 1. That a Judgment of Dissolution issue dissolving the marriage of the parties.
- 2. That the question of college expense (nursing school) shall be reserved for further order of this court.
 - 3. That the property division follows:

MARITAL PROPERTY

PETITIONE	1. TEM	RESPONDENT
\$29,500.00	*Marlinl Home	\$29,500.00
13,542.00	value \$59,000 Joint Bank Account \$27,084,00	13,542.00
5,815.50	IRA	5,815.50
3,650.00	\$11,631.00 RA 7,300.00	3,650.00
800.00	\$ 1,600.00	800.00
as agreed	Housebold Furnishings 1983 Ford	as agreed . none
**7,000.00	Pensions	** 4,000.00 PA

TOTAL

*The marital home will be put up for sale immediately and the proceeds will be divided equally after the expense of sale.

**Each party is awarded their own pensions .ree of any claim of the other.

NON-MARITAL FROPERTY

\$39,057.00 - Savings to Petitioner \$16,691.00 - Savings to Petitioner

To Respondent: Maintenance is barred for both parties.

Petitioner shall be responsible for Respondent's medical bill of \$3,074.00 either by referral to her insurance company or by payment.

The Petitioner will execute the necessary documents for a spinoff on her present medical insurance, within 30 days for benefit of Respondent.

Property of Cook County Clerk's Office

DATE 3-1-88

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COCK COUNTY, ILL.

That each party will pay their own attorneys fees.

The Court is c.

Dated:

NUV 24 1987

Dated:

J U D G E.

870145 593

Probeth of Cook Colling Clerk's Office
TREE

I HEREBY CERTIFY THE ABOVE TO BE CORRECT.

CLERK OF THE GIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILL.

STATE OF ILLINOIS SS. COUNTY OF COOK

50325

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOTS COUNTY DEPARTMENT - DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION

IN RE: THE MARRIAGE OF

ADELINE WESTERLUND

Petitioner

and

No. 84 D 22546

RICHARD WESTERLUND

Respondent

MOTION TO MODIFY JUDGMENT AFTER BENCH TRIAL

Comes Now the Respondent, RICHARD WESTERLUND, by and through his attorney, GAPY M. KURC, and moves this Honorable Court to modify its Judgment for Dissolution of Marriage entered November 24, 1987, and in support thereof states as follows:

- 1. That the Judgment for Dissolution fails to dispose of all the marital assets of the parties, namely, a certian bank account in the name of the Pecitioner in the approximate amount of \$3,000.00 created when the Petition withdrow and divided a certain joint account, giving one-half thereof to herself and one-half to Respondent. That Respondent's half is reflected in the account ifsted in the judgment as being in the approximate amount of \$1,600.00
- 2. That the account listed in the judgment in the approximate amount of \$1,600.00 was not properly valued as the evidence therof indicated that the balance was in fact \$300.00 (R152). That since the testimony was taken, Respondent has Mad to supplement his income of \$331.00 per month by drawing upon this account, and there remains only \$200.00 of that account.
- 3. That the Court erred in failing to award maintenance to Respondent by finding that Respondent could work if he wanted to when in fact there was no evidence presented supporting that fact. In fact the evidence was to the contrary (R117-119)
- 4. That the Court improperly ruled on the issue of attorney's fees without determining need and ability. That given the exceedingly disproportionate division of marital and non marital property and given further that the Respondent is without substantial income and cannot reach a substantial



asset until it is sold, making him responsible for his own attorney's fees, is inequitble and unjust. That Petitioner given her circumstance should be responsible for the attorney's fees of Respondent.

5. That the Court failed to give consideration to the manner of acquisition and preservation of marital and non-marital funds when it divided equally all the marital assets and awarded the non-marital assets to Petitioner. That the evidence indicated that Petitioner was allowed to keep and maintain her non-marital assets because Respondent, alone worked continuously, and provided for Petitioner and Respondences children. That Petitioner was able to preserve her assets by the efforts of Respondent. Accordingly Respondent should have been give a compensatory award of marital assets.

WHEREFORE Respondent moves that this Court modify the Judgement For Dissolution of Marriage in the following respects:

- A. That the account in the amount of \$3,000.00 standing in the name of the Pespondent be subject to equitable division:
- B. That Respondent be awarded maintenance in an amount which this Court deems equitorle and just;
- C. That Petitioner be ordered to pay Respondent's reasonable attorney's fees and expenses:
- D. That the Court reapportion the marital assets to properly reflect the Respondent's contribution to the acquisition and preservation of all assets; and

E. For such other and further relief as to this Court seems equitable and just.

PRINEY FOR RESPONDENT

GARY M. KURC #50325 Attorney for Respondent 14207 Chicago Rd. Dolton, Illinois 60419 (312) 841-6060

