## JAMES PAPPAS, LTD.

WALTA YANROTTA

FLUBBMOON, IL 60422 1963D GOVERNORS HIGHWAY - BOX 246

0036-867 (807)

October 11, 1990

Oak Forest, IL 60452 Lebob South Cicero Actornay at liaw Mr. Medard M. Narko

87 D 0.45 easond to seaf wall

Dear Mr. Marko:

I enclose herewith the following:

Quit Claim Deed

Affidavit of Title

tion of Marriage. -ulosaid to inamphut off to fightgared dith sommitted in al stiff

htch. I am also acknowledging receipt of \$4500.00 which is in satisfaction

of Paragraph 3.

Very sincerel¶ yours.

finc1. mm\qt



## UNOFFICIAL, COPY 3922306

#27702

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT - DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION

| In re the marriage of | )             |
|-----------------------|---------------|
| JANICE GROSSE         | <b>\( \)</b>  |
| Petitioner            |               |
| and                   | No. 87 D 9345 |
| EDWIN GROSSE          | <b>;</b>      |
| Respondent            | )<br>)        |

## JUDGMENT OF DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE

This day came again the Petitioner, JANICE GROSSE, by her attorney, JAMES PAPPAS, and the Respondent, EDWIN GROSSE, by his attorney, MEDARD M. NARKO, and this cause came on for making as a contested case upon the Petition for Dissolution of Marriage of the Preliioner, and upon the Response thereto of the Respondent; and both parties appearing in open court in their own proper persons and by their attorneys, and the Court having heard the testimony in open court of the Petitioner in support of the allegations contained in her Petition for Dissolution of Marriage; and the Court having considered all the evidence and now being fully advised in the premises, FINDS that

- A. This Court has jurisdiction of the parties hereto and of the subject matter hereof;
- B. The Petitioner was domiciled in the State of Illinois at the time the Petition for Dissolution of Marriage was commenced and has maintained a domicile in the State of Illinois for ninety (90) days next preceding the making of the findings;
- C. The parties were married on July 21, 1984 and said marriage was registered at Palos Park, Cook County, Illinois.

UNOFFICIAL COPY, 0.3

- U. No children were born to the parties as a result of the marriage. No children were adopted by the parties and the Petitioner is not now pregnant.
- E. That irreconcilable differences have arisen between the parties which have caused the irretrievable breakdown of the marriage and reconciliation is not possible.
- F. The Petitioner has proved the material allegation of her Petition for Dissolution of Marriage by substantial, competent and relevant evidence; and that a Judgment of Dissolution of Marriage should be entered herein.

ON MOTION OF SAID ATTORNEY FOR THE PETITIONER, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED OR follows:

- 1. The parties are awarded a Judgment of Dissolution of Marriage, and the bonds of matrimony existing between the Petitioner, JANICE GROSSE, and the Respondent, EDWIN GROSSE, are northly dissolved.

  3922006
- 2. Any right, claim, demand or leterest of the parties in and to maintenance for themselves, whether past, present or future, and in and to the property of the other, whether real, personal or mixed, of whatsoever kind and nature
  and whereseever situated, including but not limited by homestead, succession
  and inheritance, arising out of the marital relationship or any other relationship existing between the parties hereto, is forever barred and terminated.
- at 4252 Barry, Oak Forest, IL 3. That the marital property/shall be divided subject to a special equity on behalf of the Petitioner in the sum of FORTY-FIVE HUNDRED AND NO/100 (\$4500.00) DOLLARS. In order to determine the value of the property each party shall have the option to secure their own appraisal of the property. If the appraisals do not agree and the matter cannot be mediated, the two appraisars will select an impartial appraisar. The appraisal can include a real estate broker's proposal.

## UNOFFICIAL COPY . .

Elther party may buy out the other's interest. The gross price of the property will reflect appraisal costs less customary costs of sale.

- . A. That the parties waive may claim of penulon or profit sharing benefit that they respectively own through their employment.
- 5. The furniture, fixtures and household goods acquired during the course of the marriage shall be divided as the parties agree.
- 5. Potitioner herein shall be granted the right to resume the name of AMICE VARVILLED.
- 7. Such party is awarded any asset presently in their possession and held in his or her own individual name including. but not limited to, their respective motor their individual vehicles. pensions, their any and all assets acquired by either party accounts, and after their date of separation.

The assets recited in Paragraphs 3 and 5 shall be distributed as provided in those respective paragraphs.

8. This Court expressly retains jurisdiction of this cause for the purpose of enforcing all the terms of this Judgment of Dissolution of Marriage.

SHELDON GARDNER

CN

APPROVED:

JANICE GROSSE. Petitioner

Attorney Sof Patitioner

EDWIN GROBSE, Respondent

Attorney for Respondent

JAMES PAPPAS \*\*
Attorney at Law
19630 Governors Highway
Box 246
Flossmoor, IL 60422

Flosamoor, IL 60422 (708) 798-9500

Attorney No. 27702

3022006

State of Illinois, to wit:

Open of Lot Thirty (30) in Field Crest Lighth Addition, a Subdivision of Lots 11 and 12 (Except that part of said Lots (ving Southeasterly of a line drawn parallel with) and 75 feet Northwesterly of measured at right angles thereto, the Southeasterly line of said Lots) in Arthur T. McIn os) and Company's Southtown Farms Unit No. 4, a Subdivision in the Southeast Quarter (1/4), North of Indian Boundary Line, and in the Northeast Quarter (1/4), South of Indian Boundary Line, of Section 22, Township 36 North, Range 13, East of the Third Principal Meridian, according to Plat of said Field Crest Eighth Addition registered in the Office of Registrat of Titles of Cook County, Illinois on July 18, 1969, as Document Number -1014's 2462313,

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILL.

THIS ORDER IS THE COMMAND OF THE CIRCLINE COURT AND VIOLATION THEREOF IS SUBJECT TO THE

DENAITY OF THE LAW

öţ HERE provisi